

The book cover features a yellow background with a black border. On the left side, several parallel black lines extend diagonally from the top towards the bottom. On the right side, several parallel black lines extend diagonally from the bottom towards the top. The title is printed in a bold, black, sans-serif font, centered in the upper half of the cover. The author's name is printed in a bold, yellow, sans-serif font, centered in the lower half of the cover.

**CHINA  
UNDER THE  
SEARCH-  
LIGHT**

**BY  
WILLIAM  
ARTHUR  
CORNABY**

Library of The Theological Seminary

PRINCETON · NEW JERSEY



PRESENTED BY

Delavan L. Pierson

DS721  
.C81

Sharon

Revised, Nov 1901





CHINA UNDER THE SEARCH-LIGHT

**BOOKS ON THE CHINESE PROBLEM.**



**The Peoples and Politics of the Far East.**

By HENRY NORMAN, B.A. Fourth Thousand.  
Profusely Illustrated. Small demy 8vo,  
cloth, 7s. 6d.



**As the Chinese See Us.**

By T. G. SELBY. Crown 8vo, cloth, 6s.



**How to Read the Chinese War News :**

A Vade-mecum of Notes and Hints to Readers  
of Despatches and Others. With a Glossary  
of Military and Technical Terms. 1s.



LONDON : T. FISHER UNWIN, PATERNOSTER SQUARE.

LIBRARY OF PRINCETON  
SEP 20 1951  
THEOLOGICAL SEMINARY

# CHINA UNDER THE SEARCH-LIGHT By

Wm. Arthur Cornaby, Editor  
of the *Chung-si-chiao-hui-pao*, Author of  
“A String of Chinese Peach-Stones,” etc.



LONDON  
T. FISHER UNWIN  
PATERNOSTER SQUARE

1901

*(All rights reserved.)*

TO  
ARTHUR H. SMITH,  
AUTHOR OF "CHINESE CHARACTERISTICS,"  
THESE CHAPTERS ARE DEDICATED  
IN ALL AFFECTIONATE  
ADMIRATION.

*Chapters ii-vii and ix are reprinted from the  
"North China Herald."*

# CONTENTS



## INTRODUCTORY CHAPTER.

	PAGE
Readiness to write about China decreases with residence— Travel and residence contrasted—Essential conditions of familiarity with national characteristics—Sources of reliable information—Also of unreliable information —The latter illustrated by the Chinese national banner—Plan of the present work—A few familiar sights as topics—First impressions of Shanghai . . .	1
(Quotations from Max Müller, Confucius, Herbert Spencer, George Eliot, Henry Norman.)	

## CHAPTER II.

### OVER-CROWDING.

The Yellow Peril discussed—China's millions graphically represented—Density of population local and arising from want of distribution—But a fact in regions familiar to residents—And most evident in country places—The remarkable fertility of the soil—National characteristics fostered by over-crowding—The struggle for life—Development of utilitarian instincts—Poverty of the masses—Religion a mere "luck-pidgin"—Confession of a Buddhist monk—Keen perception of

	PAGE
character—Survival of the fittest—Such fitness often means adeptness in artifice . . . . .	15

(Quotations from *History of the Contending States*, Sylvester Whitehead, Sir Robert Hart, Ernst Faber, Adam Smith.)

### CHAPTER III.

#### *SOLIDARITY.*

Its relation to over-crowding—Three fostering influences—The Far Eastern Europe of the Confucian era—Necessity for local combination—Confucian consolidation of the family—Individuals regarded as fractions of the family unit—Neighbours half relatives—The brotherhood of man a Chinese sentiment—"Celestial Empire" a mistranslation—We are Celestials if the Chinese are . . . . .	31
---	----

(Quotations from Edmund Demoullins, King Hsien-ho, Merle D'Aubigne, Lich Tzū.)

### CHAPTER IV.

#### *HUMANITY IN BUNDLES.*

Clannish consolidation—Evolution of Chinese politeness—Imperial recognition of personal responsibility—Legal enforcement of mutual responsibility—Its application to yamên underlings and thieves—Utility of the system—Its local limitations—Absence of a wider patriotism—But possibilities of combination against a common foe—Patriotic cannibalism—Patriotism resembling our own existent among reformers—But has to be imported . . . . .	47
---	----

(Quotations from Josiah Strong, Native newspaper, Marion Crawford, I. T. Headland.)



## CHAPTER V.

*DEAD - LEVELS.*

	PAGE
Huddling together inimical to individual development— China's creative age—Decadent generations—How ancestral worship becomes the death-knell of progress —Resultant stagnation and superstition—Evolution of fatalism—Its general acceptance—Discontent with dead-levels—Nirvana anything but an ideal—Absolute need of recreation—Samshoo and opium—A higher quest—Studious drudgery—Classical literature charac- terised—Rise of the essayists—Literary art-copyists— Gulliver's travels . . . . .	79
(Quotations from Blackwood, Carlyle, National Gallery inscription.)	

## CHAPTER VI.

*RUTS.*

Classification of mankind—Country simplicity and town sharpness—"Foreign inventions should be despised" —Foreign mastery the real objection—"China's birth- right to rule"—Those who admire foreign things, but not the foreigner's religion or want of it—The magic word "Western"—The Emperor Kuang Hsü—Imita- tion the first principle of progress . . . . .	75
(Quotations from Herbert Spencer, Pope, Johnson, P'eng Yü-lin, Hung Wu, Chinese newspaper, J. T. Headland, Winwood Reade.)	

## CHAPTER VII.

*THE "NATIVE FOREIGNER."*

The term explained—Missionary criticism—Why need mis-  
 sionaries come at all?—Is the Gospel adapted to the

Far East?—How does it fit in with Confucian ethics? —What has been its success?—What methods have been adopted?—National questions—Entanglement in politics—How far are missionaries responsible for disturbances?—Nutshell statement of the Boxer tragedy . . . . .	89
(Quotations from Winwood Reade, Channing, An Ancient Divine, Heinrich Heine, St. Patrick, Chang Chih-tung, Prof. Drummond, Li Hung-chang, M. Pichon, Lord Salisbury.)	

## CHAPTER VIII.

*SOME ACTORS IN THE TRAGEDY OF 1900.*

How an adventuress became Empress Dowager—Rise of Li Hung-chang—The Tientsin massacre of 1870— “Missionaries and merchants do not count,” nor officials much—A night surprise and its sequel— Murder of Consul Margary—Disturbances of 1891— Attitude of the Western press—Yung Lu introduces himself—Betrayal of the Emperor—The Manchu Gunpowder Plot—Edict of extermination—Its partial success—Two statesmen-martyrs—How a nation has mourned for them . . . . .	111
(Quotations from various documents, the <i>Japan Mail</i> , Mr. Brodrick, etc.)	

## CHAPTER IX.

*MANDARINDOM.*

Western civilisation and the incongruities of mandarindom —Starvation salaries—Thrice-paid taxes—Cost of de- capitation cases—The suffocated conscience—Judean parallels—Why China has lasted—Utilisation of the
---

	PAGE
Three Religions—No place for Western civilisation— The final alternative . . . . .	149
(Quotations from Johnson, Chesterfield, Confucius, Empress Dowager, Dryden, Han Yü, Duc d'Audiffret- Pasquier, Marquis Tsêng, Wau Sing.)	

## CHAPTER X.

*THE LAND OF ÆSTHETIC TRADITIONS.*

The true "Land of the Pigtail"—How Buddhism prospered in China—The two gates in the Great Wall—Artistic composition in Chinese calligraphy—And in the language itself—"Maps"—Turner a photographer!—"Consider the Temple"—Must it pass away? . . . . .	161
(Quotations from Pressensé, Geo. Matheson, Sir William Fairfax, Marquis Tsêng, Chinese author translated by Prof. Giles, the <i>Standard</i> , Ruskin.)	

## CHAPTER XI.

*THE TRIPLE LANGUAGE OF CHINA.*

Is Chinese difficult?—The "tones" explained—Simplicity of Chinese to children—The Mandarin dialect—Hieroglyphics, and how to recognise them—Harmonic literature—Fifty words expressed by five—Miltonic parallels—Dress-coats—Crystals in the mosaic—Dualism—Anthem-prose—Convocation of moralists—Novelists avaunt! . . . . .	175
(Quotations from Alfred Lister, Joseph H. Choate, Dr. Martin, Chinese newspaper.)	

## CHAPTER XII.

*A CHINESE BOOKSTALL.*

	PAGE
A motto-anecdote—Ballads and plays—How to make an historical novel—Smuggling a Son of Heaven across the sea—A modern novel of a million characters—Detective knights—Jekyll and Hyde explained—A drunken demigod—Love tales—The Dream of the Red Chamber . . . . .	195

(Quotations from Aubrey, Spenser, J. J. Rousseau, Sir John Lubbock, A Modern Taoist, W. F. Mayers, Alban Butler, Baring Gould.)

## CHAPTER XIII.

*A DAILY NEWSPAPER.*

Newspapers dangerous and editors disreputable—Mrs. Partington—Genesis of a leading Chinese daily—Its advertisement sheet and contents—Suggested tax on opium-lamps—A specimen of patriotic rage—General outlook—Birth-throes rather than break up . . . . .	227
---	-----

(Quotations from Confucius, *North China Herald*, A Chinese leading article.)



An extraordinary feature in the history of manners is the utter disability of people to judge of the manners of other nations . . . with fairness and common sense. An English lady turns away her face with disgust when she sees Oriental women with bare feet and legs ; while the Eastern ladies are horrified at the idea of women in Egypt walking about bare-faced. Admirers of Goethe may get over the idea that this great poet certainly ate fish with a knife ; but when we are told that Beatrice never used a fork, and that Dante never changed his linen for weeks, some of our illusions are rudely disturbed.—*Max Muller, "Chips."*

All within the four seas are brethren.—*Ancient proverb, cited by Confucius (551-479 B.C.).*

## INTRODUCTORY CHAPTER

IN his *Study of Sociology* Herbert Spencer tells a tale of a Frenchman "who, having been three weeks in England, proposed to write a book on English characteristics, who after three months found that he was not quite ready, and who, after three years, concluded that he knew nothing about it."

We can vouch for the accuracy of the tale, except that the hero did not happen to be a Frenchman visiting England, but was an Englishman or American visiting China. We have known him well. He went there as globe-trotter, remained awhile as visitor, and lastly made up his mind to become a resident of the Far Eastern paradise of puzzles and problems.

Let us study him awhile and inquire how it came to pass that his enthusiasm for printer's ink met with such a remarkable collapse.

The explanation is that travel reveals the differences between one race and another—differences which are chiefly external and concern such things as Carlyle would sum up in the one word *clothes*. While residence reveals the similarities beneath all externals—the essential human characteristics common to mankind the wide world over. Add to this that Far Eastern races produce the illusion of similarity of feature in the traveller's mind, whose most frequent remark is that "the Chinese are all alike." This being so, the external strangeness as compared with his own land invites the snap-shotter, and the apparent sameness of feature and disposition among the natives themselves provokes his faculties of generalisation. Thus he is apt to rush into print.



But as he lengthens his stay and becomes a resident, the common human nature of the Chinese race impresses him, and a great divergency of feature and disposition becomes apparent between one native and another. The first item tends to overpower his initial sense of national difference, while the personal divergencies of character among his native acquaintances make it more and more difficult to generalise upon them. He cannot see the wood for the trees.

In dealing with the Chinese, moreover, he is dealing with the inhabitants of a continent rather than of a country. The men of Shantung and Shansi in the north may differ widely from those of more central China, and these again may differ in character as much as they do in speech from the Cantonese. To the careful observer, indeed, the features of a Hunanese will be seen to differ in a marked manner from those of a man from Szchuan in the

west, so much so that he may generally identify the natives of either province from a mere glance at their faces.

Then the Chinese naturally divide themselves into the four classes of scholars, farmers, artizans, and traders—naturally, not only from their differing occupations, but also from their differing characteristics of disposition. The literati, for instance, are trained to an outward suavity of bearing, to the wearing of a conventional mask, which the rest have hardly learned to assume with any constancy of habit.

Thus, to sum up, it may often be easy to gain totally different answers to many a simple question about China: concerning the climate, for one part lies within the tropics and another is subject to the severest winters; concerning the food of the people, for in the north flour rather than rice is the chief item of daily cookery; concerning modes of transit, for there are comparatively broad roads in northern

China where one may hire a cart or even a camel, while the "roads" of Mid-China differ little from field-paths, and the barrow and sedan-chair are the only vehicles obtainable by the traveller. The men of China may be correctly described as adepts at artifice, and also with almost equal correctness as of comparatively transparent dispositions (the latter being true of many a countryman); as buying and selling only after a prolonged process of haggling over prices, and of having but one price for all alike (as in the case of many a merchant and larger shop-keeper). The women may be described as universally binding their feet (as in several provinces), or of reserving that genteel mode of early torture for the more fashionable and wealthy (as in some southern provinces). It may also be affirmed that the idols of China outnumber the inhabitants (in certain parts), and also that in a score of households hardly one idol is to be found (in other

regions). So that reliable generalisations may be exceedingly difficult to make on many a point which seems so obvious to the chance visitor to any one given region.

But should certain events transpire, such as an anti-foreign rising, which will throw up the national characteristics into contrast with those of the West, affording the resident a certain amount of aloofness, and placing him at a mental distance sufficient to gain a true perspective of the whole, then all the varied items of information which his familiarity with Chinese life and thought has placed at his disposal will be a help and not a hindrance to an attempt at national portraiture.

And what, we may ask, are the essential conditions of familiarity (say) with British characteristics on the part of a Frenchman? Surely a grasp of the language, so that he can speak it, think in it, read and write it with more or less ease, and residence in the country until he ceases to be known as

Text to  
contact

“that foreigner,” but becomes known by name as Monsieur So-and-So.

Read *China* instead of *England*, and *Hsien-sheng* (Signor) instead of *Monsieur*, and we have some of the essential conditions of familiarity with China. To which may be added the eschewing of “foreign” steamers and the adoption of small native boats or of travel on foot in company with a native friend, until stress of experiences common to both men shall result in much community of feeling.

As sources of reliable information on popular manners may be mentioned country folk, merchants, native Christians, tale-books, and the National Records. And as sources of most unreliable information—highly commended to those who merely wish to retain their preconceived notions—Chinese guests on their society behaviour, and above all mandarins, especially those connected with the Court, whose profession it is to put the unwary foreigner off the

scent. These latter Chinese or Manchu gentry might, with the sole alteration of the one word in brackets, adopt as their motto the confession of Nello the barber in George Eliot's *Romola*: "We (Florentines) have liberal ideas about speech, and consider that an instrument which can flatter and promise so cleverly as the tongue must have been partly made for the purpose; and that truth is a riddle for eyes and wits to discover, which it were a mere spoiling of sport for the tongue to betray."

Over the whole of Chinese officialdom floats the yellow banner, whose device represents the dragon in the act of swallowing the Japanese sun, which is "quartered" on the flag just as the crosses of St. Andrew and St. Patrick are incorporated in the Union Jack. Some centuries ago the Chinese dragon fully intended to swallow the Japanese sun. The attempt was markedly unsuccessful, but that is a mere detail, and the national banner was hence-



forth modified as though the deed had been done. Which thing is suggestive of much.

In the following chapters it is proposed to start with a few sights which will be familiar to the most hurried visitor to Shanghai—such as a dense population, a countryman staring at some Western wonder, a Chinese urchin smoking a cigarette, a missionary in Chinese dress, a mandarin and his retinue, an elegant Chinese sign-board, a bookstall and a native newspaper—to start with such familiar sights, and to throw the searching light of careful study upon the facts and problems behind each of them.

For the benefit of the many readers who have not taken a trip to Shanghai a description of that “model settlement” may be given here. The picture has been drawn by a distinguished author and critic so graphically as to save the resident the trouble of endeavouring to revive his first

impressions in order to attempt a picture of his own.

“Among the many surprises of a journey to the Far East,” says Henry Norman, “one of the greatest is certainly the first sight of Shanghai. . . . I could hardly believe my eyes. There lay a magnificent European city surrounding a broad and crowded river. True, the magnificence is only skin-deep ; all the architectural beauty and solidity of Shanghai being along the river ; but I am speaking of the first impressions of Shanghai, and in this respect it is superior to New York, far ahead of San Francisco, and almost as imposing for the moment as Liverpool itself. A broad and beautifully kept boulevard, called, of course, ‘The Bund,’ runs round the river, with rows of well-grown trees and a broad grass-plot at the water’s edge, and this Bund is lined on the other side from one end to the other with mercantile buildings second to none of their kind in



the world. . . . At the upper end of the Bund a large patch of green shows the Public Gardens, where the band plays on summer evenings. All night all Shanghai is bright with the electric light, and its telegraph poles remind you of Chicago. . . . And the needed touch of colour is added to the scene as you look at it from on deck, by the gay flags of the steamers and the Consular bunting floating over the town."

The Prince of the State of Ch'i sent a courtier with a missive to the Queen Dowager of Chao, who, without breaking the seal, inquired, saying: "Have the crops been good? And are the populace well? And is the Prince well?" Whereat the messenger was not pleased, and said, "Your Majesty asks not after the Prince, but first after the crops and the people! Is that not placing the mean first and the honourable last?" But the Queen replied, "Not so, for were there no crops, how could there be any populace? And were there no populace, how could there be any Prince?"—*History of the Contending States*, (Anon.), 3rd Century B.C.

## CHAPTER II

### OVERCROWDING

THE over-density of China's populations is sure to be one of the first facts which strike the traveller, and the words "Yellow Peril" to form the first jotting in his notebook. From his earliest days, he has been taught to realise that "if the inhabitants of China could be made to march before us at the rate of sixty per minute every day and night, under the sunlight and the solemn stars, the endless procession would move on for twelve years and eight months," and that the fact of the Chinese nation being estimated at four hundred millions involves a death-rate of a million per month. And here he sees it all before

him in sample, and instinctively recalls a picture of "Over-population" in Cruikshank's *Comic Almanac*. But being of a thoughtful turn of mind, it is not the comicality of the scene before him, but the peril of it all to the world in years to come which impresses him. He can well believe that the Chinese are likely to overrun the world in time, that "twenty millions or more of Boxers . . . will make residence in China impossible for foreigners . . . and will carry the Chinese flag and Chinese arms into many a place that even fancy will not suggest to-day, thus preparing for the future upheavals and disasters never even dreamt of." And he shudders for his grandchildren, whom he pictures as swept away by such tremendous centrifugal forces.

And all this seems easily feasible. But the question arises as to whether the opening up of China will not rather mean the birth of a centripetal force, which will check

the tendency toward emigration (except among inhabitants of China's coasts), especially among a people who are naturally home-staying, and who are not addicted, as their proverb has it, to "reject the near for the distant" when the means of livelihood are to be found close at hand.

And in this connection some remarkable statistics and inferences by that most accurate and accomplished sinologue, Dr. Ernst Faber, may serve to mitigate many a fear. He points out that it is only the river-basins that are over-populated; that the average population of Germany is three times denser than the average population of China, and that the Chinese Empire ought to be able comfortably to support at least five times its present inhabitants.

The more intricate question of the Boxers will be dealt with at length from its historical side in Chapter viii., and the reader may well pluck heart from the consideration that under the most diligent fostering from the

Manchu Court, the movement which has given us the term "Boxer" only infested a mere tenth of China Proper. Such fostering forces will thus have to be multiplied by ten and become continuous for at least two years, as in the genesis of the Boxers, in order to bring about a really national movement for the extermination of the foreigner.

As a factor in the evolution of national character, the density of the population deserves a more extended notice. It is a leading element in nearly all regions of China where the merchant and missionary have penetrated. And, strange as it may seem, it is in the country places, rather than the towns and cities, that the overcrowding is most apparent. Take an average tract of farm land in England as seen from the windows of a railway carriage, and compare it with an average tract of farm land in China, up-country, a score of miles from the banks of the rivers Yangtse and Han,

and we find that in place of the solitary English homestead China exhibits a dozen little hamlets, which were indeed solitary homesteads once, but which have grown with the growth of the family or clan through the years into clusters of ten or more cottages. Thus if a walk through any Chinese town calls forth the question, "How do all these folks manage to live?" a ramble through such country parts can but emphasise the question.

The immediate explanation is supplied by the fact that where the best farm land of England yields but one crop a year, and may have to lie fallow every now and then, the Chinese farmer expects at least two crops, and in well-watered regions three crops, from his, and no more feels the necessity of letting the land lie fallow than he does personally of resting from drudgery one day in seven. He has no word in his vocabulary for fallow ground, and no amount of explanation will suffice to im-



press upon a Chinese farmer the rationale of the process. Every available scrap of decaying vegetable or animal produce is thrown upon the land; and probably the vigour of the Chinese sun serves with these aids to renew the stock of microbes in the soil, which turn the absorbed nitrogen of the air into food for the crops, in accord with the modern theory of fertilisation. And what the Chinese farmer neglects to do voluntarily, probably Nature does for him forcibly in the occasional years of flood or drought—causing the land, enriched with vegetable matter in the form of half-grown crops, to lie fallow for a season now and then.

But, spite of all such considerations, the density of China's population is an obvious fact which, with the stationary habits of its peoples for many centuries, has largely influenced the development of national characteristics.

A natural result of such density of



population has been the struggle for life which is everywhere apparent, and a vast development of the utilitarian instincts of the Chinese—a large proportion of whom live on year by year in a hand-to-mouth fashion.

One of the commonest elements of social intercourse, when a resident receives native guests in his own house, is the fact that rich and poor alike are sure not only to admire the various appurtenances of his home, but to ask, “What is the use of this?” and especially, “What may have been the price of that?” Such a visit presents a golden opportunity for inquiring what they have long thirsted to know—“What is the precise use of your stiff collar and cuffs? And how much did the material of your coat and underclothing cost per foot?” And from your wedding presents down to the latest newspaper lying on your table, the great point is, “What did everything cost?”

A journey into the country, when a countryman or two are pretty sure to ask for the privilege of going "one road" with you, yields the same result. It is no use trying to avoid the question by saying that you are not a hat-merchant or second-hand clothes dealer, or that you prefer not to sell your boots just now, as you may need them later on. The question of questions is reiterated until you begin to adopt the method of making your companion guess for himself. "Well," he replies, "those leather boots cost a dollar at least, I should think"—note the bargaining instinct here—"but what did they *really* come to?" And your reply raises you in his estimation to a definite place in the category of beings human, for in your statement of the apparently fabulous sum you gave for each, your Chinese companion will see the bargaining instinct to be well developed in your case no less than in his, and will respect you for being so much like himself after all.

One side-consideration from the above is that missionaries who go far inland may be almost forced to adopt the native dress, if for no other reason than that their whole time might otherwise be taken up in answering questions concerning their strangely concocted and fabulously expensive attire. But the main consideration forced upon us is the poverty of the masses, who mostly regard five cents. (or one penny farthing) as an ample outlay for daily rice, and who may be accustomed to substitute bran for rice during some months of a poor year.

The utilitarian instincts fostered by pressure of circumstances are responsible on the one hand for the simplicity of methods so characteristic among all classes of Chinese artisans, and on the other hand have brought down what stands in place of religion to a matter of "luck-pidgin" and little more.

The farmer or shop-keeper worships an

idol if he should be extravagant enough to afford one, or bows before a slip of discoloured red paper marked "Heaven, Earth, Sovereign, Parents, Teachers," or before an ancestral tablet, with just the "Three Manys" in mind (many riches, many sons, many years of life), and with a special reference to the first of the three. It will cause no offence whatever, only provoke an assenting smile, to tell a shop-keeper in Mid-China that he looks upon his idol as a cheap shop-assistant, who for a very low wage, expended on incense, crackers, and candles, is supposed to bring him many customers and much gain. And in country places, during times of drought, the farmers have been known to scold their idols, then curse them, then abandon them to the sun-rays till paint and varnish are blistered, as a punishment for not having fulfilled the one purpose for which they were bought (for a well-remembered sum) and nourished (with so much incense, at a certain known

rate per month). Truly, as a writer has said of degenerate Israel during a particular epoch, "They employ, not worship, their gods."

Thus it is a distinctly foreign idea, imported with difficulty, and subject to heavy Customs dues, that anywhere in the world there can be any sort of godliness which is not so much gain-seeking. A Mission hospital is admired with the exclamation, "What merit you are accumulating!"—for reward in this life. And every native who joins the Christian Church is asked, "How much does the foreigner give you month by month?" though the man in question may have subscribed liberally toward Mission expenses. And all this is quite natural to the average Chinese, given his small stock of ideas, collected in a narrow area of space, and not added to by records extending through time. For a Confucian expects to make his living by his studies,

if only as schoolmaster, and Taoist and Buddhist priest-monks are fed by the patrons of their respective temples.

Said a Buddhist monk to me once, "I don't worship idols any more than you do, Mr. Foreigner!"

"Why are you here in this temple, you rogue, then?" asked the crowd.

"To bang the drum, and pummel the bell, and spread the mat, and light the incense for you folks. A man must live, mustn't he?" was the unabashed reply.

Another indirect result of the density of the population is the development of that keen perception of character for which the Chinese are famous. An all-important question in the mind of a buyer toward a small salesman is, "Is he a deceiver or not?" And an equally important question of the salesman toward the buyer is, "Is he deceivable or not?"—until the two questions have come to form part of the daily catechism of China's millions, and have



assumed national proportions in China's intercourse with Western Powers. The education of the Chinese in artifice and diplomacy, based on a recognition of degrees of deceivableness in others, has been going on for ages. It had its genesis in a prehistoric visit of a pedlar to some old-world Chinese village.

Another outcome of the conditions brought about by the local density of the population in China is the undoubted operation of the law of Survival of the Fittest. The tendency has been for weaklings to perish in infancy, sometimes by infanticide, commonly from natural causes aided by such facts as the customary suckling of infants for three years, and the chaotic condition of Chinese medical science and practice. It is a general idea, for instance, that smallpox is one of the unavoidable ills to which infantile flesh is heir. "They all get it" is a frequent remark, in deprecation of the inordinate

“fuss” that Western residents seem to make about the matter. “Yes, and many die, but who can help that?” is the reply to further questioning. In country places there are absolutely no precautionary measures adopted in the case of infectious diseases, and even the isolation of patients is undreamt of. Over the corpse of a relative, senior or junior, who has departed this life by means of some infectious malady, a woman may be seen kneeling and wailing, her face every now and then touching the body or its clothing, with little ones tugging at her jacket for their overdue mother’s milk.

A certain standard of robustness is therefore necessary for the survival of the children of the populace, and a certain amount of smartness is needed for the townsman who would make a living. Hence the “fitness” of the survivors is of two kinds—it is either strength of muscle, or adeptness in artifice. The



former seems to predominate among the masses, while the latter becomes characteristic of the hucksters of the street, and also of the literati generally, not excepting the mandarins, or literati in office. And that the phenomenon of "ways that are dark, and tricks that are vain" has been largely fostered by the general struggle for life, seems to be evidenced by the fact that among the bigger shop-keepers and merchants, whose flourishing trade lifts them above the ordinary level of struggling Chinese humanity, there seems to be little or no tendency toward anything other than straightforwardness and commercial integrity.

Societies of a communistic formation are characterised by a tendency to rely, not on self, but on the community, on the group, family, tribe, clan, public powers, &c. The populations of the East are the most striking representatives of this type.—*Ed. Demoulin*, “*Anglo-Saxon Superiority*,” p. 50.

Our (Confucian) religion well knows Heaven’s will ; it looks on all under heaven as one family, great rulers as elder branches in their parents’ clan, great ministers as chief officers of this clan, and the people at large as brothers of the same parents ; and it holds that all things should be enjoyed in common.—*King Hsien-ho*, at the *World’s Parliament of Religions*.

## CHAPTER III

### SOLIDARITY

THE communistic basis of Chinese life seems closely related to the subject of the previous chapter. For let any kind of yielding and cohesive substances be compressed together, and the result will be either one solid lump, or a number of smaller lumps fitting into one another. Which latter is the case among the populations of China.

It is not claimed that the literal compression of overcrowding is in itself alone responsible for the peculiar form of solidarity to be found in China. That phenomenon seems to have been brought about by three kinds of compression. First there has been through the ages a social compression

together of larger or smaller communities for common defence. Then there has been the political compression together of family groups by the adoption of the policy advocated by Confucius. And lastly, the literal compression which we have already noted.

Our earliest authentic picture of China is that of a ruling tribe, geographically settled down in the midst of semi-Chinese and more or less aboriginal tribes. This ruling State occupied the north of the present province of Honan, and from its position among semi-dependent States, was naturally called the Middle Realm, a term which afterwards came to be applied to the whole region controlled by that State, hence the modern phrase "Middle Kingdom."

The inter-relatedness of these various States, commonly quoted as eighteen in number, seems at first to have been little more than that of inter-marriage among various chieftains, following their subju-

gation by the ruling tribe. By and by, however, owing to the prosperity of any one tribe disturbing "the balance of power," the unifying policy of inter-marriage was supplemented by numerous blood-covenants and even Peace Congresses—whose sequel might well serve to establish the fact that human nature remains practically the same through the centuries.

Speaking roughly, we have, in and around the times of Confucius, a Far Eastern Europe. The recurring situations are remarkably similar to those of modern Europe, although the methods of dealing with those situations were distinctively Chinese. On which latter point it may be affirmed in passing, that modern Chinese statesmen, who are supposed to learn their morals from Confucius, do as a fact find rather in the history of the times around that of Confucius, a liberal education in artifice requisite to deal with Western Powers. Western governments might do worse

than make it a *sine qua non* of Consulship, and perhaps of Ministerial office, that each candidate should gain a familiarity with that all-important period of Chinese history. For hardly a trick of trade but may be found there in specimen, unless it be that unprecedented stroke of genius of the Empress Dowager in the year A.D. 1900, in formulating a plan of slaughtering all Foreign Ministers, and of issuing an edict commanding all Viceroys and Governors to "destroy by fire every foreign building, and painfully-exterminate every foreign official, merchant, missionary, and the like"—so the Chinese text ran—throughout the land! Most rules have exceptions, and perhaps such an exception as this may not be claimed to invalidate the above description of the Chinese statesman's Text-book of Diplomacy, the history of the Chou dynasty, B.C. 1122-221.

To return to our main argument, the "Divided States" of that epoch may be

taken as a Chinese edition of Europe, but as far as their lessening allegiance to the nominal Son of Heaven is concerned, to Europe from the Middle Ages onwards, where a common allegiance to the Pope of Rome furnishes a parallel to the one link of connection which existed in ancient China prior to the advent of that Emperor of unscrupulous daring, who brought about a comparative unification of the land in the year B.C. 221. And from what glimpses of the populace which we gain between the lines of the very eventful history of those times, we also gather that their want of cohesiveness was much like that of medieval Germany as described by Merle D'Aubigne—"a people to be taken separately and singly [that is, in families]; they have seldom or never hitherto formed into groups and parties." Except, as we gather from other writers, by Trade Guilds similar to those established among our Anglo-Saxon forefathers from time immemorial.



The disturbed state of China in those early days and the frequent revolutions ever since would naturally tend towards combination among blood-relations. The lesson learned in times of disturbance—that no man can afford to stand alone—would be so ingrained in the national consciousness as to become an axiom persisting in the popular mind during times of comparative peace. And as a fact it has impressed itself on the national literature in the form of a score of stock phrases descriptive of the supreme value of united energy. While, on the other hand, the man who has to stand alone is depicted under the metaphor of “a house supported by a single pillar,” rather than upon the customary framework to which the walls are afterwards added as mere after-thoughts, as in Chinese buildings.

Realising these early conditions of existence, we may well admire the consummate wisdom of Confucius in throwing his whole energy into the strengthening of



existing family ties, especially those connected with parents and seniors generally.

He lived in an age of disintegration when “even in his own State the obligations between prince and subject were forgotten; when charity and duty to one’s neighbour were fast passing away; and right feeling all but gone.” And his view of the case may be paraphrased in the words: “If only the family rather than the individual were the unit; if only the supreme duty of life were unquestioning devotion to parents, and the local ruler or distant sovereign were regarded as the ‘parent of the people’—as he was literally when the realm was but a clan—then would government be easy indeed. And, moreover, if to the practice of filial duties could be coupled obedience to elder brothers, and ‘all within the four seas’ were realised to be brethren—as they were when the original Chinese clan took up its residence within the circle of four lakes once—then neighbourly habits would

prevail." Such seem to have been the thoughts which moulded the politico-moral philosophy of him whom the Chinese call the Master.

That in the energy of his one purpose he coupled the worship of ancestors with the worship of the Supreme (*Shang Ti*), now depersonified and attenuated into luminous mist; and that he taught that "an upright son should conceal his father's dishonesty," must be fully admitted; but from his standpoint as magistrate or counsellor of Princes, with the consolidation of the realm as his one consideration, what could have been wiser than such a policy as his?

Thus, partly through the exigencies of lawless times, partly through the influence of Confucian tenets, and partly by mechanical modes of compression, it comes to pass that the family is the unit in China, and that the individual is commonly regarded as a mere fraction of that unit.

As an extension of the principle, near

neighbours too, who in country places would be more or less related to the clan, are regarded very much as semi-relatives in towns and cities. In old Judæa the word *neighbour* seems to have implied some sort of relationship, and so it is in China to-day. Where, indeed, the privilege of local option is still extant, and two or three neighbours may be requisitioned by authorities to veto the purchase of land by a foreigner, should he wish to settle near.

The solidarity of the Chinese, then, seems to stop short at the family or cluster of neighbours, but within those narrow limits is a matter of daily observation. Is there a male birth, the women-folk scream their congratulations; is there a marriage, the whole neighbourhood is aroused by the clamour; is there a death, the whole neighbourhood is made dismal with heartrending wails of despair. The Scriptural requirement, "Rejoice with them that do rejoice, and weep with them that weep," is not so

much quoted as enforced in China. The Chinese hurl their joys at one another, and deluge one another with their woes. And should a neighbour be writhing under some real or fancied wrong, what "tongue-batteries" are brought into requisition for the bombardment of a whole district!

Two facts, small in themselves but illustrative of much, are, first, that the whole family at meals dip their chop-sticks or gravy-spoons into the common bowls of fish or eggs or vegetables; and, second, that the main room of a dwelling-house is open to all comers from the threshing-floor of the village or the street of the town. It is regarded as a sort of annex to threshing-floor or street. In a word, except at night, it is practically the common property of the neighbourhood.

To Western folk, especially to insular English folk, whose closed street-doors are symbols of respectability in which there is a considerable percentage of aloofness, and

whose houses are their castles, suggesting a survival of feudal barony, all this seems intrusive, abnormal, unbearable. But to the Chinese themselves it is a genial expression of a truth which was universally acknowledged in the good old days of yore—the brotherhood of man. And one or two of the dwelling-houses in any given district are pretty sure to have as an adornment of the “main door” a strip of red paper inscribed with hieroglyphs to the effect that “all below the skies are one family,” the very sentiment which, in the West, embodied in the phrase “the federation of man,” is regarded as an ideal goal of sociological progress. Only, with such large words as a motto, the Chinese, as we have seen, stop short at the clan or the neighbourhood, and exemplify their axiom in a very clannish and local manner.

In the country they often regard a man of another surname with suspicion, and in the towns a man from another part as an

alien. A man from a distant province, whose dialect differs from their own, is regarded as practically a foreigner; and an actual foreigner, until he has become well known, as an "ocean fiend."\* Like many a sentiment, then, that of universal brotherhood in China, however broad in theory, is exceedingly contracted in practice. But with such sentiments ingrained into the local consciousness, it but requires time, and intercourse with the rest of the country and the world at large, in order to worthily extend the scope of maxims which in themselves are limitless and universal.

\* "Foreign devil" is literally "ocean fiend," and seems to be the Chinese way of spelling *pirate*. As applied to foreigners, it may be traced back to the sixteenth century, when, as Sir Rutherford Alcock relates, "Simon Andrade and Fernando Mendez Pinto sailed up the China coast with their fleet of thirty-seven vessels, plundering the tombs of seventeen kings of an ancient dynasty, in which treasure had been buried, making many piratical expeditions from Ningpo as a base of operations, and drawing down upon themselves the vengeance of the surrounding population, about 1545." (*Parliamentary Papers.*)



And here, perhaps, it is only fair to the Chinese to say that they have never claimed to be "Celestials" or subjects of a "Celestial Empire." This strange Western blunder will doubtless be as difficult to correct as any wild notion held by the Chinese about foreigners has proved to be. But the attempt should be made nevertheless.

Our mistake arose from a mistranslation on early diplomatic documents of the term "Celestial Court," a term applied to the Imperial palace to mark out the one "Celestial" in China—the Son of Heaven—in contradistinction from the millions of "Terrestrials" whom he governs. True, everything connected with him is more or less "Celestial." Being himself "Heaven's Son," his bodyguard are called "Heaven-soldiers," and his couriers "Heaven-messengers," but "Heavenly" always means *Imperial*, and the Empire itself is described in the phrase "(all) below the skies," or the Terrestrial Empire.

The assumption involved in the term is similar to that behind the old Roman phrase *orbis terrarum*, or "all the world." The Romans applied the term to their empire as comprising *all the world that was worth counting*, and the Chinese term has a precisely similar meaning. And nowadays, finding that there are Western nations counting, the Chinese, many of them, give the phrase its full breadth and use it of the mundane universe. Or, retaining its ancient significance as synonymous with *empire*, they have even asked, "How long did your Empress (Victoria) rule over 'all below the skies'?" Thus, whatever the term may mean, they are generally willing to share it with us, or even to apply it specially to a Western Empire such as the British. So that if we persist in translating "all below the skies" as "celestial" rather than "terrestrial," we must prepare to dub ourselves "Celestials" forthwith.





A low form of individualism with little or no organisation marks the savage. A low form of individualism with a degree more of social organisation characterises the barbarian. The sacrifice of individuality to an extended and comparatively high social organisation results in a civilisation like that of ancient Egypt, Assyria, India, and China. There is a good deal of permanence, but with it much stagnation.—*Josiah Strong*, "*The New Era*," p. 22.

## CHAPTER IV

### HUMANITY IN BUNDLES

CHINESE solidarity is chiefly clannish or local, but within those limits is as patent a fact as a rope-tied faggot or bundle of firewood. In social intercourse within the clan itself every effort is made to preserve a secular edition of the unity of the spirit in the bond of peace; and a conciliatory attitude is adopted toward every friendly visitor who represents another clan or circle, and who may perhaps bring the whole resources of that other bundle of humanity to bear upon any real or imaginary affront. There is always a lurking suspicion that an outsider may be a possible foe at some time or other. He is therefore treated

with a consideration which is half deprecatory, and with a politeness that consists largely in what may be termed the bribery of flattery, which bribery he on his own part returns with interest. Is his host "humble" and his visitor "honourable," the visitor himself will describe himself as "abject" and his host "opulent." But the reason of it all is obvious from the above considerations.

It is thus, surely, that the fine art of Chinese guest-receiving has attained to its present developments. It answers a similar purpose to that of the buffer between loosely-chained railway carriages, preventing a necessary contact from becoming an unpleasant collision. Thus viewed, some of its apparent absurdities will disappear and many of its utilities will become readily apparent.

By the long-enforced decrees of national custom, the clan or locality is bound up in a bundle that no efforts may divide, and

Confucius, as we have seen, did his utmost to convert the whole Empire into a vast clan-unit. The rulers of China have long since agreed to regard it as such, and have accepted the theory of personal responsibility for the whole empire-clan, representing national calamities as resultant from Imperial sins against heaven. Thus on an inundation of the Yellow River or the occurrence of drought, the Son of Heaven has proceeded to the Temple of Heaven and made humble confession of personal delinquencies, which he afterwards confesses also in an Imperial edict published in the various prefectures and counties throughout the Empire. But having set the example in theory he enforces its observance in practice, by recognising a very real clan-responsibility as being shared by every member of the multitudinous clan-circles everywhere. "Each subject has not only to consider his own individual responsibility for his personal acts or lesions, but he

knows full well that his father, mother, grandparents, children, grandchildren, brothers, and sisters, down to the remotest links of the family chain, may have to suffer if he merits punishment." Nor is any rank of life in China exempted from the operation of this system of mutual responsibility. It runs in a regular gamut from the elder of the family to the head-man of the village, from the head-man to the local constable, from the constable to the inferior mandarin, and thence in regular succession to the county mandarin, the prefect, provincial Judge, Treasurer, Governor, and Viceroy. In some respects it is a terrible system, but the very reality of its awe-inspiring inevitabilities makes it an exceedingly useful system in the prevention of crime, or the capture of the criminal.

And on this latter point it may be added that the villainous-looking underlings of the mandarin we met in the streets of Shanghai are technically regarded, and not without

reason, as, at any rate, "sleeping partners" in every local thief-gang. They themselves are held responsible for each robbery if the thief is not forthcoming, and, failing to arrest him, may themselves be put under arrest and punished with a fair amount of severity—always provided that their lord and master is really in earnest in putting the case through. For such things as "presents" are not entirely unknown in mandarindom.

Such is the Chinese system, then, and a very cleverly-devised one from the point of view of the government of Cathay. It was intended to fuse the Empire into one great whole, but the fusion has stopped short at the molecule. The result is comparable to a block of loose red sandstone rather than the intended granite—a sandstone block which has been considerably weather-worn by those national storms known as insurrections, rebellions, and revolutions, but which seems to retain its general shape nevertheless.

The Chinese instinct of solidarity is so forcibly absorbed by the system of local responsibility that it seems to have no over-plus of energy toward a wider patriotism. Besides, China is so big, and its bigness is the more impressed on the Chinese mind owing to the crude native system of transport and locomotion. Our Western patriotism is not so contracted. An American finds his heart large enough to embrace the whole of the States, and, indeed, feeds his patriotism upon their very bigness. But each Chinese is a fraction of a unit inhabiting a continent, which he has been taught to regard as "all below the skies"; and what Englishman or European generally finds room in his bosom for stirring emotions which shall embrace the whole Continent of Europe?

The sole contingency which would tend to bring about that result would be some government, as that of the Manchu, arraying itself against the whole of Europe



—a state of things approximately brought about by the imperially-instigated enormities of 1900. And so, in China, while combination on behalf of one's own Empire seems too large an order to be executed, combination against a common foe, real or imagined, may be within the bounds of possibility, especially if that foe be represented to have dire intent upon the Chinese equivalents for hearths and homes and local interests everywhere; if, in short, that foe be an invader.

Now, from of old the Chinese in country districts have been wont to regard every visitor from afar as an invader. And not without cause, for every autumn has witnessed the invasion of Mandarin So-and-So's grasp-all-you-can tax-collectors of evil visage. And it only requires a given amount of filthy slander (as in Hunan placards, *Death-blow to Corrupt Doctrines*, and such publications) to be freely circulated about the towns, and to be enlarged

upon in the village tea-shops, to bring about a fairly universal cry of "Ocean fiend," and to stir up inflammable emotions to ignition point on special occasions. Thus doubly have the Chinese authorities utilised existing instincts in the minds of a clannish people; first, by the raids of their underling extortioners, and secondly, by the assisted diffusion of such atrocious slanders as charges of eye-scooping for the concoction of medicine, and the alleged practice of customs too bestial to be described in English type—but for which the "sacred characters of the Holy Sage" have been so freely brought into requisition. Thus, through the reiterated efforts of a decade have "patriotic" rioters been manufactured from the riff-raff, and crimes against the more unoffending harbingers of civilisation committed either by the professed disciples of Confucius, high in office, or by a maddened populace whom they, during the space of ten years, systematically and

designedly goaded on to madness. And thus it comes that a native Shanghai editor, who owes his very existence to the ægis of foreign protection (for all native newspapers were drastically "suppressed" by "Imperial Edict," October 8, 1898), calmly states in a leader of August 17, 1900, that "Ever since China established treaties of commerce (with the West), there is not a man claiming to be truly and bravely patriotic who has spent a single day without longing to get hold of the foreigner's flesh and eat it"—in savage satisfaction and cannibal revenge! But if this last item be fact, had we not better pause and sound an alarm?

Behold, ye nations of the earth, and tremble as ye gaze! Chinese Patriotism has awakened from an age-long sleep, and stands with hungry eye, lighting the fires of a cannibal oven, prepared for your reception. For the cupboard is bare, and the giant has nothing else to feed himself

upon but your shrinking flesh. And know, O ye peoples, that not fairy-tales but prophecies were the stories with which ye amused your early days of innocence.

“ Fe fi fo fum ;  
I smell the blood of an Englishman ! ”

The giant's cry is no fiction, but became literal fact in the closing months of the nineteenth century *anno domini* !

A leading novelist makes one of his characters say, “ A foreign land will make old bones of a man without the aid of years,” but amid the untold horrors of 1900 here was an element which made us feel young once more. We were children again by the old fireside, asking, “ Mother, is that *all* true? Was the giant real? And did he really and truly talk like that? ” Thus did an extravagant sentence in Chinese type awaken memories of an old home, which home, in its turn, aroused emotions

in connection with the home-land—emotions which we recognise by the word *patriotic*.

The question remains as to whether the Chinese may not by any process of education become patriotic in the sense that we claim to be. A partial answer is given by I. T. Headland, who says, “It is one characteristic of the young reformers of China that they develop a patriotism which is akin to that of the West.” Accepting that as a fact, let us ask whence young China learnt its reform principles and attendant patriotism. Surely from the West. And so the whole answer to our question is just this: “The Chinese may in time adopt true patriotic sentiments, but such *Chinese patriotism must be imported from abroad.*”

To us the life (of the Middle Ages) seems dull, and we can hardly realise getting through the wet days. . . . But it had the great advantage of making the men who were born to it accept existence with the acquiescence of a sluggish philosophy. It made them welcome, as excitements they might almost be grateful for, what we are in the habit of regarding as the "horrors" of those times.—*Blackwood's Magazine*, 1879.

## CHAPTER V

### DEAD-LEVELS

THE process of huddling together is, in the long run, inimical to individual development, though it may be a necessary condition during certain incipient stages—which apothegm may be illustrated by reference to the common practice of rice-cultivation in China.

First of all the seed is sown closely together in a little plot, and soon grows up into a miniature field of dazzling emerald. But were it to remain thus, there would be little individual development among the rice-plants, and therefore a very small sum-total of grain from the whole. If China has become a notable example of



arrested development, it has been largely due to the mechanical or social huddling together of the populace inhabiting her riverine neighbourhoods. There are too many to the square mile literally, and the crowds of individuals are much too closely connected socially. They need planting out.

Once, in days of yore, China much resembled ancient Greece in all but serrated coastline. Her fourteen larger states, based upon so many original tribes, were separated by rivers and mountains, and developed under the conditions of vigorous rivalry. It was then that China produced her sages, her classics, and her individuals. This was in the period B.C. 1122-221, since which she became a nation, but soon ceased to be a nation of individuals. From that time China, as a whole, has ceased to create, and has devoted her energies to the fine art of imitation. In religious matters she has evolved her Taoism by this method. Taoism is professedly based on the philo-

sophic musings of the Emerson of ancient China, Lao-tzū, the Venerable Philosopher, but in its later form is really a native modification of the Buddhism imported at various times during the earlier centuries A.D. In literary matters she has expended her energies in polishing and smoothing down existing attainments. And as to the populace, innocent of ideals religious or literary, we are reminded of a small boy with a copy well-written at the top of the page, who first copies it, and then copies his own writing line after line to the bottom of the page. A like phenomenon has been manifested in China on a big scale, and with a like result.

With us the prayer "Remember not the offences of our forefathers" is an expression of much that concerns our national life from Monday to Saturday, from January to December, and from generation to generation. It is the progressive instinct embodied in a petition.

The words are not a prayer for ancestors, as many Chinese familiar with the translated Book of Common Prayer somewhat naturally construe them. They indicate, on the one hand, a recognition of that family solidarity now covered by the word *heredity*; but, on the other hand, are the outcome of a desire to improve on the generations of the past—a desire which is diametrically opposed to all that is involved in the ancestral worship of China. The Chinese literally worship the preceding generation, and own themselves its inferiors—with a tendency to become such. And thus is ancestral worship, which drew forth such a solemnly eloquent paragraph from Carlyle, and which, as he says, should make audible the pulsings of the worshipper's soul, if he have any soul—thus is ancestral worship the very death-knell of progress. And even if, as some have argued, it were indeed harmless from a religious point of view, its down-dragging tendency is manifestly such as to call for its super-

session from national considerations. No nation where it is generally practised can be in anything but a down-grade condition.

To the learned, ancestral worship not only involves reverence for the nearer progenitors of the worshipper, but for the dead past of custom and social condition under which remoter ancestors lived; and to the common people it means a disclaimer of any effort to rise superior to "the offences of our forefathers." And thus is produced a social and national dead-level of malarious bog-land, where, as evening shades close around, the will-o'-the-wisp fires dangle and dance. Originality is found, not in character and achievement which may rise above the ordinary, but in the superstitions which haunt and flash above the general bog.

It is amid such dead-levels that the life of China's millions is lived out year by year, until submission to the inevitable has assumed the proportions of a national

characteristic. With no such hope as that "Whatever is best," the man's whole environment has become identified with Fate, and the Chinese have become fatalists with a surrender to circumstances which may well surprise a resident from the West.

A Confucian scholar, engaged as pundit to an Englishman, had a remarkably clever son who had taken his literary degree in his early teens. And the proud father felt, what he would not admit in so many words, that his son would by and by develop into a still more distinguished personage than himself, and would "glorify the ancestral branch" even more than he professed to have done. Already had the youth exceeded his father's fondest hopes, and the outlook was in every way an ideal one.

But in process of time the young man fell ill. His complaint was readily curable by Western methods, and there was a skilled Western doctor at hand, whose services could have been secured for the asking.

After a considerable delay, and with due apologies to his ancestors who had used no such means, the doctor was called in, and prescribed two kinds of medicine which were to be taken at intervals. As a compromise with his ancestors the father administered but one kind, which happened to be the less potent of the two, and for want of the second the patient died. Next morning the father came as usual to give his lesson, and announced his bereavement by saying that the decrees of Fate were inexorable, and that the virtuous man ever submitted to them without a murmur. Otherwise the loss was as keenly felt as it would have been among ourselves. For a month later, when the pundit was asked to copy out a text of Scripture he had never seen before, the words "gave his only begotten Son" were blotted with falling tears. The Confucian Stoic was a human being still.

We have reason to suspect that much of the stoical bearing of the more highly



trained is assumed with the silken jacket in which the gentry pay their morning calls, and thrown off with that jacket on their return home. For in general matters the literati are sensitive to an extreme; and their efforts to "consume their own smoke," as Tyndall would have put it, can only increase that habitual sensitiveness. All such theories as seem to lift them above the passions common to humanity are, as has been hinted, worn outside the personality itself.

Nor is it in human nature to submit for long together with full acquiescence in such restrictions in general matters as those which form the subject of this chapter. The caged lark, however well domesticated it may seem to be, flutters against the bars now and then. The study of ancient literature, with its possibilities of material rewards to the few who excel, is itself an attempt to soar beyond the dead-levels of life. And the common people seek to



escape the monotony of life in various ways.

A phrase which we can best translate as "noisy excitement" embodies one of the cherished ideals of the populace. And Buddhism had to assume a highly coloured form before it found acceptance to any general extent among the masses of China. And nowadays the Chinese seem quite unaware that the system of *Shih-chiamou-ni* (Sakyamuni) ever contained such a dreary condition as that of Nirvana. So far as Nirvana can be regarded as a state to be enjoyed after death (rather than a condition of present possibility—and it seems to have meant both) it has long been replaced by the Western Paradise. And those few who have heard of *Ni-pan* (Nirvana) at all imagine it to be the name of a place in India.

Nor is Buddha himself the object of much worship. That has long been transferred to *Kuan-yin*, the Virgin goddess who brings

sons to her suppliants among the women of China. Both of which innovations, as could be shown at length, being largely helped into being by early Nestorian teachings concerning the Christian heaven and the Mother of the Christ, when Nestorian missionaries from the West and Buddhist refugees from northern India met and fraternised in Tibet, previous to their Chinese campaign of the seventh century A.D., and following a general decadence of orthodox Buddhism in China.

So far from Chinese Buddhism finding its goal in Nirvana, the worship of Kuan-yin on the part of the sonless women of China has for its outlook a hoped-for escape from the Nirvana of nonentity, for a young bride is almost a nonentity until she has fulfilled the one acknowledged purpose of existence and has borne a son. That event alone raises her to the rank of an individual.

Then as to the Chinese love of excitement generally: a rude theatrical stage is

erected in some country place, and over distances incredible and narrow field-banks innumerable, troops of tiny-footed women and girls converge in little processions toward the crowded hillock. And even such a small event as that of a relative arriving from a village a few miles away is often greeted by such excitement that the uninitiated might imagine a riot to be taking place. With the inflammability of long-dried faggots the Chinese populace catch fire on all possible occasions.

When festive events are scarce and life unendurably stagnant, a pot of the crudest samshoo may be brought into requisition. And the wonder is that the percentage of opium-smokers everywhere is not still larger than observation proves it to be.

The lad who has the making of a scholar in him is likely to be of finer grain than the rest, and to possess more latent capacities for realising the monotony of existence. And for such instincts Confucian study

seems to provide an outlet, though that outlet is often more apparent than real. For years of drudgery are necessary before the hieroglyphics of yore are even known by name. Then follows the task of memorising the entire contents of every book which he studies. And only when boyhood is all but gone is there any initiation into the meaning of the whole. Nor is the task ended at this stage. It has only begun. For the outlook of classical study is to have at one's fingers' ends an antique style modelled upon that of twenty centuries ago, in a language which the ancients never spoke, and which would never have been understood by the disciples of Confucius had the Master conversed in such terse sentences. Imagine the New Testament and English History to be only procurable in a style like that of Latin telegrams, with a Latin commentary here and there, and you will gain some idea of the literature of China, apart from the forbidding hieroglyphics.

Yet in the hands of a ready writer this apparently impossible dialect has capacities of a style which shall be at once harmonious, delicate, and strenuous. In Chinese phraseology, the ideal essay possesses the complementary elements of "flowers and fire."

From the days when the Empire became such under the masterful and unscrupulous prowess of an ancient Napoleon of the Far East, some of the archaic ultra-tersities of the classics and historical books disappear, and a band of brilliant essayists enrich the national library to such purpose that the Western student ceases to wonder that Chinese pride finds its chief justification in the literary style of its tissue-paper tomes.

As on the walls of our own National Gallery, so on the walls of the Chinese Hall of Literature are inscribed the words: "The works of those who have stood the test of ages have a claim to that respect and veneration to which no modern can

pretend." And so the Chinese National Gallery of Literature is daily crowded with copyists who may venture to hope, amid much despondency, to compose and treat old themes for themselves by and by in such a manner as to gain that highest award of complimentary phraseology, "a disciple of antiquity."

Among the crowd of ignorant and unlearned country-folk, the Confucian scholar, whatever his attainments, must necessarily shine forth a genius of almost superhuman calibre. For is he not a man of nightly converse with the ghostly Sages who forces them to yield up their sublime secrets? And should his scholarship be solid and the fates propitious, he may tower above the townsmen, too, as a qualified graduate and mandarin, with rulership over five or ten cities as his hardly-earned reward.

Contrasted with the masses, then, he becomes a Gulliver among the Lilliputians; but contrasted with the ancients in his



mental outlook, he is as Gulliver among the Brobdingnags. He has escaped one dead-level to fall immeasurably below another.



Its immense error lies in the assumption that what was once true is true for ever; and that a relation of ruler and ruled which was possible and good at one time is possible and good for all time.—*Herbert Spencer, "Study of Sociology,"* p. 36.

Be not the first by whom the new is tried,  
Nor yet the last to cast the old aside.

*Pope on "Criticism,"* line 335.

## CHAPTER VI

### RUTS

IN our initial visit to Shanghai we saw a countryman staring at foreign sights and inventions, and a Chinese urchin smoking a foreign cigarette, and may now proceed to study them as types of two classes, the one somewhat mystified by foreign ways, and the other manifestly "emancipated" and imitative.

If we follow the advice of the Leviathan of Letters, and

"Let observation, with extensive view,  
Survey mankind from China to Peru,"

we shall probably be struck with the fact that humanity is readily divisible into two

classes: first, those in a rut, and, second, those not in a rut; and feel also that the first class is further divisible into two subclasses: those who wish to be pulled out of the rut, and those who desire no such thing.

The countryman gazing at yon liberal allowance of telegraph and telephone wires is awaking to the fact that he has lived his life stuck in a rut—a respectable rut doubtless, by reason of the multitudes stuck therein, but a rut nevertheless.

Now he is a simple countryman, and ready to acknowledge with his lips what is passing in his mind. And having wondered awhile whether it is orthodox in a foreign street to cry “Excellent!” or safe, with that Sikh policeman so near, to cry “Ocean fiend!” he splits the difference by uttering the monosyllable “Ch’iao!” which translates into our trisyllable “Marvellous!” And thus saying, he confesses that he and his have been in a rut for ages.

Not so some smarter men. A native who first saw a steamer at the mouth of the Canton river years ago, refused to express the astonishment he felt, and calmly remarked, "My got plenty more all same inside"—in the interior, that is, before Chinese waters were opened up to Western trade. And that sturdy Hunanese, Admiral P'eng Yü-lin, whose prowess in Mid-China battles with the Taipings brought him to the front, gives us in his work *China's Indulgence toward Foreigners* quite an elaborate dissertation on the unoriginality of foreign inventions, in which utterances the (unconscious) humour is almost as delicate as in that sublime fiction of Charles Lamb, *A Dissertation on Roast Pig*.

He says: "Our philosopher Mo-stü [fourth and fifth centuries B.C.], who discusses transmutations, is the founder of Chemistry. What our ancient books say of hairs and strings, their weight, &c., is

the beginning of the science of Mechanics. What they say about two lights meeting and forming an image on a mirror is the beginning of the science of Optics. Our philosopher Kang Tsang-tzŭ says water is the refuse of the earth; vapour is the refuse of water, and therefore is the founder of the science of Steam. Our classic, the I King, says there is a divine force in the earth, and that when wind and thunder arise the 'dew' falls; this is the science of Electricity. Moreover, our Kuan Yin-tzŭ says that fire arises from striking one stone against another; that thunder and lightning arise from gases which can be made artificially. Our Huai Nan-tzŭ [died B.C. 122] says that yellow earth, blue crystal, red cinnabar, white jade, and black stone, every year produce quicksilver. What is above the fountain of the earth is cloud, what results from intercourse of the *yin* and *yang* principles is thunder; their clashing produces lightning. Heat earth and

we get wood, heat wood and we get fire, heat fire and we get clouds, heat clouds and we get water, heat the water and we get earth again. Thus we in China discuss electricity very minutely. Now, these intelligent Western scholars took this teaching and developed it, and own that they cannot surpass what is recorded in Chinese books. But Chinese scholars, unacquainted with their own philosophers of yore, are foolish enough, when they see some strange thing used by foreigners, *to think of it as new!*”

And further on: “Do not think that the foreigner is truly skilled; it is the Chinese who most excels in these skilful things after all” — the skilful things specially referred to being torpedoes, telephones, machinery, and locomotives — “only that *he does not care for them.*” Which utterance can only be excelled by a Chinese at Tientsin, some years ago, who, pointing to the telegraph wires, said

to a missionary, "Have you got these Chinese things in your country?"

The man in a rut who does not want to be pulled out of his rut, gives as an all-sufficient reason that he was never in a rut at all. It needs some percentage of knowledge to reveal one's own ignorance.

Reading between the lines of that sturdy statesman just quoted, we may see, however, that it is the word "Foreigner" which is the crux of the whole difficulty. P'eng Yü-lin was an undoubted patriot, and there are many in China of all ranks and grades who, though not patriots, yet have sufficient national feeling to say, "Let us be pulled out of our rut by all means, but not by the foreigner." For on a return from any foreign treaty port they quote the foreigner as a somewhat masterful personage, as one who, added to his mastery of the applied sciences and arts, seems to claim a certain amount of



mastery over men—"else how did he get his treaty port, and how has he retained it? Did not the illustrious founder of the Ming dynasty and builder of Nanking say, 'It is the birthright of the Chinese to govern foreign peoples, and not for these latter to rule in China'? And in his treaty port the foreigner manifestly rules, and feels it *his* birthright to do so." And this difference of opinion, natural on both sides, is the cause of much suppressed heart-burning — suppressed until opportunity comes for utterance, an utterance which may be somewhat explosive at times.

Even an enlightened official like H. E. Chang Chih-tung (Viceroy of Hupeh and Hunan), who has introduced ironworks, arsenal, cotton and silk mills, who employs foreigners and is in no sense their servant, has had to bear much popular obloquy, and has been nicknamed "Foreigners' Slave" even by neighbours and relatives of thousands who gain lucrative employment at

these "foreign" works. For there is ever the lurking suspicion that where foreign inventions are the order of the day, the foreigner's rule is not far behind.

Others see the advantage of foreign inventions, but feel that either the foreigner's religion, or his want of it, are inseparably connected with the adoption of such inventions. As to the foreigner's religion, the missionaries are proclaiming it everywhere; and as to his want of religion, a native paper of Shanghai says (July 18, 1900): "Foreigners who come to China completely lose the religion and restraints they received in their own lands. They walk disorderly without compunction, and ridicule everything"—words which are given as one argument out of many why "China cannot be Egyptianised."

There remains a class of Chinese to whom the word "Western" has undoubted attractions. They quote antiquity in support of their predilection for things from

the West. "West" from of old has been a word to conjure with. The character itself is an auspicious one. Its prominence among Chinese characters, and its occurrence in certain combinations, point to that region as the early home of the race. Confucius is reported to have prophesied the advent of a Sage in the West. Buddhism was accepted largely owing to the fact that it came from the West. And everywhere where the missionary has penetrated the fame of Western medicine is great among the populace. So much so, indeed, that a charlatan who puts up a signboard labelled "Chinese and Western Physician," even though, as in one case, he be a runaway water-carrier with a broken injection needle as his sole stock in trade, will succeed for a while in commanding respect and in earning a living.

Then, certain advantages of foreign citizenship are duly recognised, as, for instance, that foreigners do not have to kneel

in their courts of law, neither are they as witnesses subject to torture. And so, a few years ago, some clever swindler announced that he had foreign "B.A." buttons (!) for sale at a low figure, which would secure for their purchasers the above - mentioned privileges. And his dupes were many.

There are popular indications, then, that many would like to be pulled out of their rut, yes, and adopt foreign ways, if there were no foreign control mixed up in the matter. Was not the Emperor Kuang Hsü an illustrious instance of this before the *coup d'état* of 1898?

“The desire for foreign goods, toys, and inventions very early reached the child Kuang Hsü, and became a passion with him. . . . Phonographs, telephones, gramophones, graphophones, &c., were purchased for him, presented to him, or bought by him. . . . Then, later, he took up the study of the English language . . . pur-

chased copies of both Old and New Testaments and all kinds of foreign books which had been translated into Chinese (eighty-seven, indeed, of the publications of the Christian Literature Society for China). These books embraced such topics as international law, political economy, chemistry, physics, botany, astronomy, mathematics, medicine and kindred topics, together with all phases of Christianity now preached or taught in the Middle Kingdom." He even "borrowed" the medical books of the wife of the writer of the above quotation. And, under an enlightened rule, such liberal-minded investigation would soon become fashionable, as the summer months of 1898 proved, when Reform was in the air.

Then there is a class of Chinese who readily take the colour of any new surroundings. Their imitative instincts are highly developed. One of the best-known facts about the Chinese is that a tailor

will copy a foreigner's coat, patches and all. And many of the Chinese in the treaty ports learn to clothe themselves in foreign ways, to put on a metaphorical coat copied from the foreigner, patches and all. Yet, as a thoughtful writer has observed, "Imitation is the first principle of progress." The races which are not imitative are fast dying out, while those which have learned to imitate more progressive races, have themselves learned progress—a progress which will not long remain at the imitative stage.





There is a kind of Natural Selection in religion ; the creed which is best adapted to the mental world will invariably prevail ; and the mental world is being gradually prepared for the reception of higher and higher forms of religious life.—*Winwood Reade, "The Martyrdom of Man."*

The spiritual freedom which Christ came to give consists in moral force, in self-control, in the enlargement of thought and affection, and in the unrestrained action of our best powers.—*Channing, Sermon on "Spiritual Freedom."*

## CHAPTER VII

### THE "NATIVE FOREIGNER"

IN our walk along Shanghai Bund, we saw a gentleman whom a new-comer might at first sight mistake for a Chinese, but who on closer inspection proved to be a foreigner in native dress, hence known among the Chinese as the "Native Foreigner." This witty Chinese phrase he is disposed to take as a compliment, indicating as it does his disposition to meet the Chinese half-way, and not to make Westerners of them, though his aim is to see them Christianised. He is of course a missionary.

All missionaries do not adopt the native dress, but those who do not, yet clothe themselves with those motives which the

native dress symbolises. And perhaps it is not saying too much to affirm that all missionaries to China have to make up their minds toward a loosening of certain links which bound them to the society of their countrymen. That they have to be geographically severed from home is a condition which they share in common with their other countrymen in the Far East, and goes without saying ; but that they will be the subjects of a certain amount of criticism from those fellow-countrymen of theirs, both at home and on the spot, is a certainty which they accept together with the rest of their lot in coming to China. The man before us is a fairly well criticised man.

Imagining our visit to Shanghai to have been in the late summer of 1900, when the various warships and gunboats were making the phenomenon of " Jack ashore " one of the commonest elements of the season, we may have overheard a bluejacket (albeit

in white) saying to his chum concerning the personage in the long robe and pigtail, "That's the fellow that's been kicking up all this fuss. If it weren't for him we shouldn't be here." A specimen statement, which, like most missionary criticisms, opens up a wide field of inquiry. And as we are now of an inquiring turn of mind, let us try and examine the missionary *de novo*, carefully eliminating any special pleading for or against the man and his doings. And let us attack the subject in the form of question and answer, utilising in our answers a quotation or two from writers who may strike us as being as free from prejudice as is possible for *genus homo*, species writer, to be. And, to be more than fair to the other side, let us abstain from citing texts of Scripture which the missionary might quote as his supreme justification.

The first question is: *Why need he be here at all?* Let us put that question to

the man himself, under the limitations just laid down.

He replies, "Because I could not help it."

How is that?

"Because I felt within my life a Power which has changed that life,—a Power so great that I have no doubt that it is adequate to change any number of other lives anywhere, in time. And not only do I as an individual feel that Power, but England has felt it as a whole more or less."

And he refers us to a scrap of print in his pocket-book, which says that a certain learned divine, preaching before the University of Cambridge in 1575, remarks that "before the preaching of the Gospel in Britain, no church existed but the temple of an idol; no priesthood but that of paganism; no God but the sun, the moon, or some hideous image. To the savage rites of the Druidical worship succeeded the abominations of a degenerate Roman idolatry. In Scotland stood the temple of

Mars ; in Cornwall, the temple of Mercury ; at Bangor, the temple of Minerva ; at Malden, the temple of Victoria ; at Bath, the temple of Apollo ; at Leicester, the temple of Janus ; at York, where St. Peter's now stands, the temple of Bellona ; in London, on the site of St. Paul's Cathedral, the temple of Diana ; and at Westminster, where the abbey rears its venerable pile, a temple of Apollo."

He tells us, moreover, that our question, "Why need you go at all?" was doubtless put to St. Augustine and those who preceded him. And as to St. Patrick, we have it in his own words (*Confession*, §15), "Whence came to me . . . that I should leave country and parents, and many of the gifts which were offered to me with weeping and tears? It was not my grace, but God who conquered in me . . . ; so that I came to the Irish peoples to preach the Gospel."

"And," adds the missionary, "to leave the Chinese where they are, would be to

show a lack of appreciation for the forces which have rescued us from heathenism, and made the difference between Queen Victoria and the Empress Dowager. Until we are prepared to return to the worship of the sun on Sunday, the moon on Monday, Tiu on Tuesday, Woden, Thor, Friga, and the Roman Saturnus, it is natural that we should try and bring to others the benefits of a Christian civilisation which has made all the difference between us and the Chinese."

The missionary's explanation will probably be accepted, though perhaps with a slight reservation as regards his last phrase. He seems to ignore various racial characteristics. Some Western lands, moreover, have been marked by certain geographical conditions inviting men sea-wards, and thus tending to bring about interchange of thought among various nations. So that they could not utterly stagnate, even if they had wanted to do so.



To which the missionary replies that this too was providential, and gave to such nations as the British not only the heritage of the seas, but a mission to break in upon the stagnation of sleepy nations beyond the seas. And as to the supreme means of dealing with that stagnation, he bids us remember the triple cross upon our Union Jack, which should never become a mere pictorial delusion like that of the Japanese sun upon the yellow banner of China.

He also quotes Heinrich Heine as saying: "Why do the British gain foothold in so many lands? They are demanding, they are founding the great kingdom of the spirit, the kingdom of religious emotions and the love of humanity, of purity, of true morality, which cannot be taught by dogmatic formulas, but by parable and example such as are contained in that beautiful, sacred, educational Book for young and old—the Bible."

But "east is east and west is west," and it does not necessarily follow that a Gospel which suits the West should also meet the precise needs of the Orient, does it?

There is a good-humoured twinkle in the missionary's eye as he listens to our logic. We have obviously forgotten a fact which he himself has had forced upon his memory by his dealings with the Chinese, namely, that the manners and customs of the Bible are Asiatic, that our Lord and His apostles were Asiatics, and that it was an after-thought, and regarded by some as an audacious one, that a certain apostle should set out to evangelise Europe with the same Asiatic Gospel. And the argument is that what has so acclimatised itself in the West, to the extent that its Oriental origin may be forgotten for fifty-one Sundays out of the fifty-two, may well be expected to be accepted by an Oriental nation after a reasonable "length of time, proper scope

and opportunities"—to use a phrase of Bishop Butler's.

*But how does it fit in with Confucian ethics?*

And here the missionary is ready with a reply. He tells us that while no Gospel will fit in with the modern "Confucianism" of conscienceless mandarindom, the points of contact between the Christian Gospel and the general system of Confucian ethics are many; that the Moral Philosophy taught in our theological colleges is practically Confucian, having for its broad basis the fulfilment of the relations subsisting between man and man—between "prince and statesman, father and son, elder and younger brother, husband and wife, friend and companion," to quote the list as given in the Confucian books.

He explains that Christianity supplies the sky to the Confucian landscape, and the motive-force to the Confucian mechanism; that the Divine forces appro-

priated by penitent faith and constant prayer furnish the necessary impulse and working energy for the whole; providing for the destruction of the bias toward the bad, and the attainment of all things true and noble.

He tells us that some sort of a Supreme was once recognised in China, and that in China's most ancient dictionary—which is made up of quotations from still more ancient authorities — this Supreme is affirmed to be “the producer of all things,” and also in a classic already old in the days of Confucius, “the alone Imperial Supreme, Parent of the people.” So that the way is prepared for the proclamation of the truth that the Supreme is our Heavenly Father. And that when once this truth is accepted by the Chinese, he gains a leverage such as would hardly be available in the West. For in both ancient writings and modern conversation among the Chinese, the two terms *filial*

*duty* and *brotherliness* are most prominent ideals and the *sine quâ non* of all things admirable in character and conduct. So that these two terms, so deeply engraven on the Chinese conscience, have only to be lifted (on the one hand) to include the obligations to a Heavenly as well as an earthly parent, and broadened (on the other) into a benevolent interest in the welfare of brother-man, in order that the two prominent elements in the Christian system may flash out as peculiarly Chinese obligations. Thus viewed, Christianity furnishes the acknowledged supplement to the great duties proclaimed by Confucius, and, by means of its spiritual energy, not only makes such duties a possibility, but transforms the duty into a joy—as in the every-day life of many a Chinese convert.

*With what success, then, has his Gospel been attended (say) in comparison with Buddhism and Taoism?*

Let H. E. Chang Chih-tung (Viceroy of Hupeh and Hunan) reply. In a book published in 1898, for the perusal of the literati, he says, "The Western religion is daily flourishing; while the two cults (Buddhism and Taoism) are daily declining, and cannot last long. For Buddhism is on its last legs, and Taoism is discouraged because its demons are spiritless."

*What methods has he used then?*

Preaching, whether in halls or streets or country places; Healing, whether in well-furnished hospitals or local dispensaries; Education, whether in colleges or elementary schools; Literature, whether on national subjects for the eyes of the literati, or Scripture translations and Christian booklets generally in language adapted to the populace at large.

*He has dealt, then, with broad national questions?*

Yes, as Professor Henry Drummond says, "There are two ways in which men who offer



their lives to their fellow-men may regard the world. The first view is that the world is lost and must be saved; the second, that the world is sunken and must be raised." He sympathises with both views, but his immediate purpose being to represent the latter, he quotes China as "an instance of arrested development. On a fair way to become a higher vertebrate, it has stopped short at the crustacean. . . . The Christianising of such a nation as China is an intricate, ethical, philosophical and social as well as a Christian problem." And so among Chinese missionaries, there are, in his words, "a few Rabbis who will quietly reconnoitre the whole situation, and shape the teaching of the country along well-considered lines. . . . Such is the direct policy of many missionaries, and even of whole societies." Then, urging the need for more of this class, he says, "The missionary who has some philosophical training, who knows something of



sociology and political economy, and who will apply these in Christian forms to China, is (in addition to existing workers) the man most needed at the present hour. For it is to be remembered that this is a case of arrested motion, and that the most natural development, certainly the only permanent one, will be one which is a continuation of that already begun, rather than one entirely abnormal and foreign."

But, what you call sociological questions apart, *have not the missionaries entangled themselves far too much in political matters?* Li Hung-chang, for instance, is reported to have said that the raising of the Roman Catholic missionaries to the grade of officials is responsible for the Boxer outbreak.

As a fact, the Jesuits and the Protestant missionaries in China are two distinct classes, as indeed they are in the West, and would naturally be everywhere. And as they took different ground on the subject of "official status for missionaries," so they

adopt different standpoints generally, though happily with little personal feeling on perhaps either side. For in China a man's sympathies must either broaden or shrivel up, and a healthy broadening of sympathy, a cosmopolitan view of things, which need involve no sacrifice of principle, seems to be prevalent.

The differing relations which the two orders of missionaries sustain toward their respective governments will be readily inferred from two utterances from those best qualified to speak on the subject.

M. Pichon, as a deputy, was a Radical and a strong anti-clerical; as a Minister in China he has been the best friend of the clericals, declaring that "our missionaries in China are the best workers for the influence of France" (*North China Herald*, 13th October, 1900). Whereas Lord Salisbury, in his classical utterance on Protestant missions, says: "Missionaries are not popular at the Foreign Office." And the Chinese

everywhere are shrewd enough to have found out that the relation of Jesuit or other Father in China is much nearer than that of any Protestant missionary to the government of his native land.

And now for a burning question of the day, and of many a day to come: *What share had the missionary in the great upset of 1900?*

The answer in brief is that he is both a reformer and a foreigner, and obnoxious to the ruling Manchu clique on both these counts. This reply will be the clearer on consideration of a brief statement of the inner history of the tragedy of 1900, which cannot be considered a national movement so much as a plot of the ruling Manchu, the young Emperor excepted.

*China* is an ambiguous term which includes three distinct elements: (1) the Manchu Government; (2) the Mandarins; (3) the Chinese populace.

(1) The Manchu Government is foreign,

and universally recognised by the Chinese as such. This is illustrated by a prohibited book, widely circulated in manuscript, entitled *Push Him Out!* The basis of the work is a series of picture prophecies professing to date from A.D. 643, which are interpreted with modern applications. And one of these pictures, in modern editions of the work, represents a Chinese lad (young China) pushing out a Manchu warrior. The situation would be paralleled if we could imagine Russia to be ruled by Finland.

This foreign Government is jealous of both Native Reform and of Western influence generally—in all of which it sees its own existence menaced. Its leading spirit has been the Empress Dowager, an adventuress of genius, who, in September, 1898, set aside the young Emperor, killed many of his friends the Chinese Reformers, and then, on November 5th of the same year, issued an edict for the formation of Volunteer Corps (as the "Boxers" have always been officially

termed) in all parts of the Empire, "to turn the whole realm into an armed camp in case of need." This edict was only taken seriously in the northern provinces, among existing Volunteer Leagues (described at length by a writer in *The Chinese Recorder* some years before). They were anti-Manchu, but were bought over and vigorously fostered by Manchu officials in high places, who had been substituted for Chinese mandarins for that very purpose.

These preparations having been effected, an edict was issued, June 17, 1900, ordering the destruction of all foreign property by fire, and the extermination of all Western foreigners and their Christian adherents by torture. This had been suggested by a Manchu adventurer named Yung Lu, in writing, early in the year. Two Chinese patriots at Court, since executed as traitors by being sawn asunder at the waist, made bold to reverse certain words of the edict to "strenuously protect," before sending copies

to the centre and south of China. But the copies for the north passed through unaltered in spite of them. Where atrocities have occurred, they have been perpetrated in direct obedience to these unaltered edicts.

(2) The Mandarins, or magistrates. These are mostly Chinese, and for the greater part have acted for their own safety from Western retribution, and thus for the peace of China, and (helped by the reversed edicts) have neglected to take up the scheme of the Manchu-foreigner for the extermination of the Western foreigner.

(3) The Chinese populace, caring little for politics, and desiring to feed and breed in peace, but containing rowdies and vagabonds in every large centre—an element which the Manchu Government, through the mandarins and their underlings, has every now and then succeeded in stirring to violence, ever since the Tientsin massacre of 1870.

So strong has been the influence of the



Manchu Court indeed, as proved by the various anti-foreign edicts which have come to light, that one marvels at the comparative uninflamability of the populace, in not having enacted more tragedies than have already taken place. And one can only guess how few those uprisings against the available foreigner (the missionary far away from gunboat protection) would have been apart from such pressure from high authority.

But let us content ourselves with the easily proven answer to our question:—The missionaries have had a share in bringing about the upset of 1900, for the reason that they could not but be obnoxious to a Manchu clique which stopped not short at the murder of some of the finest men of light and leading among the Chinese, and would even depose a Manchu Emperor when he had thrown in his lot with the native reformer and with the missionary from afar—as much of the unpublished history of 1898 proves him to have done.





In the German Renaissance designs of the sixteenth century, a not infrequent design is Aristotle ridden by Phillis, whip in hand. And quite commonly in Nuremburg work, a long-bearded man appears ridden by a young woman.—*Art Workmanship*, vol. i. part 2.

Eating deadly poison, so as to poison the tiger (whose jaws are feared).—*Chinese Proverb*.

## CHAPTER VIII

### SOME ACTORS IN THE TRAGEDY OF 1900.

THE condensed statement of the causes of the upset of 1900 with which the previous chapter ended, would seem to invite expansion, especially as the whole series of facts forms a drama as thrilling as any that have been acted during recent centuries upon the great stage whereon all are actors.

The first scene opens with the introduction of a Manchu girl (born 1835), as imperial attendant into the dissolute Court of the throned debauchee, Hsien Fêng, somewhere in the fifties. The half deified weakling who became her master—but whom she was to dominate in the end—

is described by contemporary writers as "tottering to his grave, a decrepit, worn-out man of barely thirty years of age" in 1861. Yet did not his life stand out in glaring contrast to his environment, for it is generally understood that he had a Court to match.

During the year of his enthronement began the Taiping rebellion, and his death did not see the end of it; although in 1855, nine years before its close, this same weak monarch, feeling that the situation was getting desperate, issued an edict declaring that a certain hero of the third century, and one who had been half deified in 1594, had appeared in visible form, had crushed the Taipings, and was therefore to be worshipped as a god indeed throughout the length and breadth of the land. And thus it came to pass China lavished fresh epithets upon her pseudo saviour, applying to him the term most used by Christians of all kinds for the Holy Spirit. Neither

was all this in contrast to the times, for the alleged successes of China's "god of war" with the Taipings were on a par with those of his patron, the Son of Heaven, in governing the Empire.

Measuring her man, the wily slave-girl wormed herself into his good graces, and became the mother of a son whom he nominated as his heir.

Meanwhile, the insolence and treachery of a certain Imperial Commissioner named Yeh provoked a fresh Western war with China, and in 1860 the combined forces of England and France were thundering at the gates of Peking. The Emperor Hsien Fêng fled with his wives and slaves to Jehol in Manchuria, and utterly refused to treat with the "outer barbarians." But a brother of his, one Prince Kung, came to the front, and conducted negotiations with considerable ability and success.

The Emperor died in exile during the next year (1861), and eight Regents were

appointed during the minority of the son of the slave-girl. But intrigue and jealousy were rife. They were killed, and the mother of the child made Regent in their place. And it soon became a proverb at Court that it was no use resisting the young upstart, for she invariably succeeded in everything upon which she set her mind. Her various critics were fain to hold their peace of set purpose, or were constrained to do so by such gentle persuasives as the lictor's rod, the silken cord, or the headsmen's axe. And all the courtiers thought her wise—wise with that particular kind of wisdom in vogue at the Dragon Court.

In 1864, a certain Governor of Kiangsu (the province in which Shanghai is situated), one Li Hung-chang, who had "employed some foreigners" in connection with the Taiping rebellion in those parts, claimed the credit of General Gordon's successes, and the Empress Dowager reaped the benefits thereof. It was her

position which the "ever invincible army" of the foreigner made secure.

The next event of note was the murder of the French Consul, one or two priests, and nearly a score of sisters of the Romish Foundling Home, Tientsin, which building was burnt by a mob (June 20, 1870).

Two accounts are given of the occurrence. A high Chinese statesman (P'eng Yü-lin) says, "In the reign of Hsien Fêng, the French sent missionaries to travel in all directions and to establish churches; then bad people, under pretext of believing the new doctrine, entered the Church to obtain protection, cheated the country people, tyrannised over the orphans and the weak, debauched men's wives, robbed men of their property; and even when found out, the French missionaries secretly protected them, hid them in their churches, or sent them abroad to foreign countries. The people became highly indignant, rose up *en masse*, bent on revenge, burnt the



churches and beat the missionaries (at Nanking and Yangchou), and the Tientsin massacre followed. . . . All this is the fault of one Church—the Roman Catholics. France alone is bent on Missions. . . . The Franco-German war really began with their religion. Spain says that France has a bad reputation. She has brought it on herself; who else can she blame?"

For the Tientsin massacre, France and other nations blamed a certain ex-Taiping rebel, Ch'en Kuo-jui, then Imperial general. He was a favourite of Li Hung-chang and a hater of foreigners. And it happened that the lesser disturbances at Nanking and Yangchou, and the massacre at Tientsin, took place during his residence at the three places. Prince Kung excused him by saying, "It was by accident that the General Ch'en Kuo-jui was present at Tientsin. He was sick and on a voyage; he had nothing to do with what took place." But Ch'en boasted that he had very much

indeed to do with the occurrence. And at length the Tsungli Yamên admitted that he had used "some idle words" just about the time it took place. Which amounts to a full confession of his share in the deed.

There was also another actor, one Chung Hou; his office, Foreign Negotiator (Tao-t'ai, ranking next the Governor of the province); his official salary, £100 per annum; his acknowledged income from bribes and extortions, over £100,000 per annum. And as the Ambassadors pressed for his degradation, he was removed—to a higher office elsewhere. Which has become the custom after later riots.

When urged to say whether he thought dismissal was a sufficient punishment for those responsible for the lives of some twenty foreigners, Li Hung-chang, who had just been made Viceroy of the province, at length admitted that they were worthy of punishment, but *only because a foreign*

*consul had been killed*, not if the sufferers had only been missionaries or traders.\*

As a consul had been killed in this case, what was the punishment meted out to the General Ch'en Kuo-jui, who had boasted that the deed was chiefly his own? He was received in audience by the Imperial son of the Empress Dowager, and returned to Tientsin as the friend of Li Hung-chang.

And immediately after, the fan-shops were requisitioned to provide a novel form of comfort for the ensuing summer—to manufacture thousands of fans whose device was a foreign building in flames, foreigners being killed, and a Chinese mandarin rising from his chair and urging the people on to take their part in the work of merit. (For a reduced facsimile of one of these fans, see *The Graphic*, November 26, 1870.) The

\* This corresponds with what Li Hung-chang said in August, 1900, of those imprisoned in the Legation buildings in Peking: "The rest (besides the Ambassadors) do not count—do not count at all."

sales were enormous, and a general massacre of foreigners throughout the Empire seemed imminent.

France was now entangled in the war with Germany; and as to the other Powers, "the system of trifling with foreign Ministers" was so successful, that only after a long time were these fans nominally suppressed.

The Empress Dowager thus learnt an important lesson, namely, that under certain circumstances, foreigners and even foreign officials might be murdered by an officially-stirred mob, and little besides verbal protests would result—a lesson which she treasured up in her heart. And why, after all, should foreigners have more immunity from death than eight Regents, and others whose slaughter was to follow?

In 1874 a party arose whose purpose was to break the power of the Empress Dowager whom they called an outsider, and to raise the son of Prince Kung to the throne.

Prince Kung being brother to the deceased Hsien Fêng, and a man of great ability, it was argued that his son had, at any rate, as good a claim to the throne as had the son of the ex-slave girl, especially as her son inherited many of the weaknesses, if not all the vices, of his father.

Li Hung-chang was now Viceroy at Tientsin, and to him the Empress Dowager appealed. He accordingly made a secret forced march on Peking with four thousand men, was admitted into the outer gates of the city at midnight, and then into the Forbidden City, as arranged. All the palace guards on duty were disposed of and replaced by Li's men. And in the morning, the friends of Prince Kung's son were surprised, disarmed, and sent away to death or exile. And again was the Empress Dowager mistress of the situation.

Her son, the nominal Emperor Tung Chih (United Rule), died on January 12, 1875; but his death was not announced

till some time afterwards. Instead, nine days after he had died, the *Imperial Gazette* (Jan. 21) stated that in token of rejoicing at his convalescence, his Majesty conferred various dignities and donations and showed forth his Imperial clemency in many ways. And why, forsooth, should foreigners have more immunity from deception in China than the whole Chinese nation itself at this and other times?

On March 27th the widow of Tung Chih died. The *Imperial Gazette* of that date accounts for her death by saying that "When called upon to lament the departure of His Majesty the late Emperor to be a guest on high, her grief was so excessive that it took the proportions of a fatal illness." But the facts were that the expectant mother happened to drink a cup of wine in which poison was mingled, and died in agony therefrom. And all China is persuaded that the Empress Dowager on that day murdered her own daughter-in-



law and the child she feared that daughter-in-law would bear. And, again, is it within reason, that foreigners alone of all folks that stood in her way should be preserved alive?

The Empress Dowager now nominated her nephew, Tsai-t'ien (Kuang Hsü), a child of four, as puppet Emperor, whose strings she could pull at will.

The new chapter, which we may call Act III., opened by the treacherous murder of H.B.M. Consul Margary by Chinese troops on February 20, 1875, while in the province of Yunnan on an expedition which had been fully sanctioned by the Manchu Court. For months the Tsung-li-Yamen prevaricated and delayed, on the ground that they had received no official report of the murder. And although a mediator was appointed in the month of August, and the matter discussed in the Chefoo Convention of September 13th, no official settlement of the case took place till October, 1876.



And about this time were issued, under official patronage, several inflammatory works, three in particular accusing foreigners of such practices as eye-scooping for the purpose of obtaining eight pounds of silver from every hundred pounds of Chinese lead, explaining that "the eyes of foreigners are of no use for this purpose; they must be Chinese eyes." Also that "every seventh day all Christian foreigners assemble in a church, and when the ceremonies are over give themselves up to debauchery," and many like words. And over a million copies of one of these works were circulated free.

Then later arose one Chou Han, in the Hunan province, whose pictorial descriptions of the "ocean fiends" and their atrocities were also circulated by the million. And as this same Chou Han was not in any remunerative office, the expenses had to come out of official funds.

And so, in 1891, various foreign property was destroyed at various places along the

Yangtse, and two foreigners—one not a missionary, and the other not known to be a missionary—were murdered in a riot, and the mandarins of the place did nothing to save them, but rather were the ring-leaders of the riot none other than the mandarins' underlings.

And so Chou Han issued a new placard, representing these two foreigners as being exterminated by soldiers of the Government.

The young Emperor Kuang Hsü, having come of age, issued an edict of surprised remonstrance at these riots and murders. But the Empress Dowager sent to the various viceroys secret commands which made the edict null and void. And so there were more riots and massacres during the years which followed.

And when these riots had taken place and foreigners had been killed, the Western press is said (by a Far Eastern secular paper) to have argued in the following strain:—

“ Seizure of territory by foreign governments, forced railway and mining concessions, disturbing ancestral tombs and temples, opium importation under protest, and other forced aggressions upon the Chinaman, are things he rather likes. You see, that is what he is there for, and so he has long realised that his wealth and resources are for the foreigner to exploit. But missionaries are a continual menace to the Empire. They come along and distribute themselves throughout the country, say about one in two hundred thousand natives, and begin to translate Bibles and text-books, preach and proselyte, build schools and hospitals with abominable foreign money, teach foreign languages, foreign science, foreign religion, and foreign medicine. This is too much for the Chinaman, and so he rises up in his wrath, and, of course, in his unreasoning madness, he makes all foreigners suffer alike.

“ If only the abominable missionary

could be driven out, and the other peaceable foreigners left to their railroads and mines, justice would be perfected."

And all this reasoning was very welcome to the Empress Dowager and her party, and might be of immense aid to her in case of any great move. For all would then say that the movement was only against the missionaries.

And now, as the drama was enacted in China, we may allow a new character to appear and describe himself as Chinese actors do. And this is his speech:—

"I am of about the same age as the Empress Dowager, and, like her, I am an adventurer. Seeing how she had risen, I too cherished the ambition to rise—ay, to rise above her in the end. My name is Yung Lu, and from a humble Manchu home I worked my way up in the graces of the Empress Dowager until she conferred upon me the three highest military offices

in the capital. But I was proud, and bowed not to Li Hung-chang, and was insolent to others, until I lost office. Yet I soon began to rise once more, and am very retiring now, letting others hatch my plots and bear the brunt of them. And as the drama progresses, you will see how I can dethrone an Emperor, who knew I was aspiring to the throne, and how I can involve the Empress Dowager and my rivals in power, Prince Tuan and the rest, in sore disgrace with the foreigners. They will never suspect me—unless one of them who has friends in the palace gather all the facts and verify them.”

An interesting actor this! Let us watch him; for all that he has prophesied may come true—even the last item.

In the year 1898 the young Emperor, whom the Empress Dowager had at last allowed to rule, surrounded himself with those who sought the welfare of the realm, who saw its needs, and determined to meet

them. But as Yung Lu was proved to harbour treacherous designs, it became necessary for the Imperial safety to remove him. Averse as the young Emperor was to bloodshed, he entrusted a warrant for the execution of Yung Lu to the young patriot Tan Tze-tung, to deliver in secret to the general Yuan Shih-kai, who seemed faithful, but who was really a sycophant of Yung Lu just then. He betrayed his Emperor to Yung Lu, who betrayed him to the Empress Dowager. Then did the Empress Dowager upbraid the Emperor to his face and confine him to his rooms for some days; during which time all the Reform edicts were reversed and the Emperor's co-patriots killed. And as the patriot Tan Tze-tung went to execution he cried, "They may kill my body, but for each man killed there will be a thousand others in whom my spirit shall live." And those who heard the words treasured them up, and wondered whether the prophecy would ever come true.



Then the eunuchs and others who were favourable to the Emperor were beaten to death, for the custom of the Manchus forbids that any one should use swords within the palace itself. And the Emperor, being in danger of his life, appealed to the British Embassy to protect him. For he had one left who could deliver a message faithfully. And that messenger was repulsed and told to hold his peace. . . .

Just forty days after that fatal 26th of September (the date of the *coup d'état*) began a Gunpowder Plot which was to shake the world eventually, though none knew what it would mean in the end just then. On November 5, 1898, the Empress Dowager issued the edict ordering the formation of "Volunteer Corps" (otherwise translated "Righteous Harmony Leagues," which foreigners have called "Boxers") "to turn the whole nation into an armed camp in case of need."

Also, within a few weeks of the *coup*



*d'état*, Tung Fu-hsiang boasted publicly that the object of the great military preparations which were then starting was "to drive all foreigners into the Yellow Sea."

Then, on November 21st, the Empress Dowager issued instructions to the Viceroy with regard to dealings with foreigners, and said, "Never should the word 'Peace' fall from the mouths of our high officials, nor should they even allow it to rest for a moment within their breasts."

In May and June, 1899, "the Lord High Extortioner," Kang Yi, started on his tour, carrying the Empress Dowager's renewed instructions ordering the Viceroy everywhere to form Volunteer ("Boxer") forces in their respective provinces, and collected about a million ounces of silver to aid the Boxers of the North. But though the Viceroy of the Yangtse could not but subscribe to the fund, they memorialised the Empress Dowager, protesting against

the Court patronage of these same Northern Boxers.

Yü Hsien was now Governor of Shantung, and his under-officials were so oppressive that on July 13th "certain of the country people banded themselves together for defence." These are the facts, but in an edict which these facts called forth, their action is described as "brow-beating the authorities."

To the Empress Dowager's own "loyal Boxers" Yü Hsien distributed arms, and on December 27th proclaimed that "they might loot, plunder, burn (any foreign premises), but they must not take life." But on December 31st they went beyond their instructions, and took the life of Mr. S. M. Brooke. The British Government accordingly asked for the degradation of the Governor Yü Hsien, as both treaty clauses and passport regulations had been openly violated. He was "degraded" to a higher post. From Governor (the rank

below a Viceroy) he was made Viceroy in Shansi. And on the way he was received by the Empress Dowager "with every mark of favour, for she gave him a breastplate on which she herself had embroidered the word 'Happiness.'" And his place in Shantung was filled by Yuan Shih-Kai, who had betrayed his Emperor to Yung Lu (September, 1898), as a reward of merit on that former occasion. And the Foreign Ambassadors saw these things, and protested not. And missionaries made representations, but they were unheeded by the Legations. For there was no place in China in which missionary criticism was so rife, as in these Legations, and "every one knew that the movement was a purely anti-missionary affair."

And now, as the final act of the drama opens, Yung Lu saw his chance. He saw that the Empress Dowager had exasperated those who loved their land, by issuing a decree (January 24, 1900), ignoring the

Emperor Kuang Hsü, and nominating (as the heir of her deceased son Tung Chih) a Manchu lad of nine years of age, who could neither write nor speak Chinese, namely Pu-chun, son of Prince Tuan—whereat, from Shanghai alone came a remonstrance from 1,231 Chinese citizens, also like remonstrances from other parts; and from Siam a memorial from 80,000 Chinese, threatening to come with armed force and fight the cause of their deposed Emperor; and like memorials from other places beyond seas. He saw that the Emperor Kuang Hsü, being denied the aid of England when his life was endangered in 1898, had lately written to the Emperor of Japan, calling him brother, and praying to be rescued. And that the Empress Dowager was mad with anger at such subjects, at the Emperor, and all men beyond the sea who favoured the Emperor. And so Yung Lu prepared his scheme for her overthrow and his own succession to the Dragon throne.

Direct audience was difficult to gain, especially in secret, for the young Emperor still had a few friends unslain at Court, and thus it came that Yung Lu's plot was put in writing (and that the plot was proved to have been his own). And this was the scheme thus propounded while yet the year was young, namely: taking advantage of the fact that the Ambassadors had quoted the Boxers as only anti-missionary, to surprise, imprison, and kill them every one, and then to have all foreigners throughout the Empire killed also. He urged that besides Her Majesty's "Tiger troops" there were the "Volunteers" whom Yü Hsien had armed, and were these "Volunteers" yet more encouraged, everything would be ready for the great undertaking by the ninth day of the ninth moon (October 31st). This was the ancient Feast of the Moon (when cakes were made to the Queen of Heaven, as in Jer. vii. 18), called in the Chinese the Festival of *Ch'ung-yang*,

Renewal of the Virile Principle of Nature, but now by a pun, "the expulsion of the foreigners."

Later on, however, it was concluded that the nation would not consent to such a deed upon a joyous festival, and so the fifteenth day of an inauspicious intercalary month (the eighth moon) was the day appointed, for the reason that on that day of ill omen the nerves of a superstitious nation would be at their weakest, and *all would be fearing some calamity.*

But so liberally were the "Volunteers" nourished from the national funds (as their receipted documents discovered at Tientsin attest), and so rampant did they grow under such nourishment, that they soon began to precipitate matters. So that the date of the general massacre would have to be altered for one much earlier. Which was deemed unfortunate, as all the preparations had not yet been completed. But Yung Lu sorrowed not. His plot against the Empress Dowager was working!



Anon the Ambassadors began to inquire drowsily whether everything was, after all, so purely anti-missionary as they had dreamed; and on May 22nd remonstrated with the Tsungli Yamên, and threatened to bring military guards up to Peking. On May 31st those foreign guards started. There was no opposition, for the time of arousal was not meant to be yet. But the Boxers grew more and more rampant, killing a few foreigners. And the "great undertaking" must be inaugurated very soon if at all.

So on June 17th Prince Tuan put into shape the suggestion of Yung Lu, and drafted an edict for the Empress Dowager's approval, and it was worded thus:

"To the Viceroy and Governors of the provinces. . . . Whether foreign dwellings or doctrine-halls, all are to be consumed by fire; whether foreign official or merchant, missionary or convert and the like, all are to be destroyed by torture. There is to be



no pity shown, as that would spoil the great undertaking."

The Empress Dowager agreed, and, as in the Book of Esther, there was written unto all the lieutenants and to the governors that were over every province, according to the writing thereof: in the name of the Empress Dowager was it written, and sealed with her seal. And the letters were sent to all the northern provinces, to destroy, to kill, and to cause to perish by torture, all foreigners and all believers of the foreign teaching, both young and old, little children and women, and to leave none alive.

And so, in these northern provinces, (say) three hundred foreigners who were missionaries besides many who were not called by that name, and (say) fifteen thousand native converts perished. And the Ambassadors themselves were imprisoned for the space of two months, and one of their number was killed by the soldiers, and the rest were rescued with great difficulty by

the bravery of many troops and the loss of many brave lives.

For, as a British statesman showed in the House of Commons (August 2nd): "Since 1895, firms in this country had supplied the Chinese Government with 74 guns of position and 11,740 rounds of ammunition; 123 field-guns and 40,000 rounds; and 297 machine-guns with over 40,000,000 rounds. And Germany had supplied nearly half a million Mauser rifles with 3,000,000 rounds." But these figures, as he said, did not pretend to be exhaustive. And both countries had supplied military instructors, and instructors to build arsenals in which the Manchu Government of China might manufacture enormous quantities of large guns and Mauser rifles on the spot, ever since 1895, following the visit of Li Hung-chang to the West, who left England saying that the British were "a frank and simple people," words which in Chinese mean,

“those of whom the wily may take advantage.”

And now did the Empress Dowager flee to the westward, taking her Imperial nephew with her, and continued to urge the Viceroy and Governors at all costs to resist the foreigners. And on November 19, 1900, Li Hung-chang, having been appointed Peace Commissioner, sent a secret message to the Viceroy and Governors, written in his own name and worded: “Peace affairs hard to settle. Prepare war!” And this same message was shown by one of the Viceroy to the Lord High Commissioner of the Yangtse, Pelham Warren, and to Admiral Seymour in the city of Wuchang (November 26th).

And, apart from the brave words and deeds of Tan Tze-tung in the capital before his execution, is there nothing in the whole drama for the audience to applaud—nothing besides the intrigues of a

corrupt Oriental Court, among high-climbing adventurers who had dropped their consciences to climb aloft upon a pile of human corpses, and who would risk a nation's ruin to gain their own selfish ends? Verily there is ; one scene remains, and we who look on and judge shall indeed be judged as heartless if it stirs no deep emotions within us.

The edict of extermination had gone to the northern provinces, but when a miscreant named Li Ping-hêng, who had been made Admiral of the Yangtse, returned to the capital towards the end of June—his path from the Grand Canal to the capital being marked by burnt Mission stations and the corpses of Chinese converts—his Mistress questioned him as to what the Yangtse viceroys were doing toward the great undertaking which she had commanded. And he told her that instead of exterminating the "ocean fiends" they were protecting them! Then she called

for the two high statesmen to whom she had entrusted her edict of extermination, to know if they had indeed sent it to the centre and south of the land.

Now it had come to pass, earlier in the month, when these two high statesmen, Hsü Ching-chên and Yuan Ch'ang had received the edict to forward to the centre and south of China, that they saw its execution would entail untold calamities on the realm; and feeling that appeal on that point was useless—for they had been thrice repulsed before—they altered the words “consume by fire . . . destroy by torture” to “strenuously protect,” and forwarded the altered edict to the centre and south provinces, where it was posted for all to see. Then, knowing that their lives were endangered, they sent away their wives and dependents from the capital, lest they too should suffer from the fury of the Empress Dowager.

But one faithful dependent of the states-

man Yuan, his private secretary, who knew all about the altered edict, refused to leave his master, and arranged to remain in Peking at the house of a merchant who was trusty, so that he might receive letters and forward them, and watch as to what became of his master. And he was glad at heart, for nothing happened—until the return of Li Ping-hêng. . . .

The two statesmen being called into the inner palace, the Empress Dowager asked them to account for the state of things which the Admiral of the Yangtse had described.

Bowing to the ground, the two men said with tears, “Your ministers felt they must save both Court and populace, and secure the realm from calamity; and for that reason dared to alter certain words in the decree. They know that their lives are forfeit for the offence, and only supplicate that their households may not suffer the death-penalty too. This they will deem an act of clemency indeed.”



The Empress Dowager, with that wonderful command of countenance for which she is famous, heard their confession without moving a muscle. But Prince Tuan and Li Ping-hêng reviled the two statesmen in a loud voice, and knelt and prayed that the two traitors be destroyed from beneath the spreading heavens. Then the Empress smiled that "cold smile," so dreaded at Court, and commanded that they be executed forthwith by being placed in the instrument called the "rotary barrel," which is reserved for those guilty of high treason, and cut in sunder at the waist.

And it was done.

Then, on the 28th of June, a fresh edict was sent to the centre and south of China, recounting the victories of the "Volunteers co-operating with the Imperial troops throughout the province of Chih-li;" praising the bravery of "these loyal subjects," who were surely to be found every-



where throughout the Empire, calling upon all Viceroys and Governors to raise forces from their number, and requiring the Yangtse Viceroys "to use their most strenuous endeavours to put these instructions into effect." But they (having so lately received an edict to protect "foreign dwellings and doctrine-halls, missionaries, converts, foreign officials, and merchants") quoted this second edict as coming from Prince Tuan, whom they considered as "a rebel. And decided not to obey these decrees from Peking." (See *China Blue-book*, No. 3, 1900, p. 93.)

Reader, have you any friends, men, women, or little children, left alive from the year 1900 in the realms of the Empress Dowager? If so, tell your own little ones, and weep perhaps as you tell them, how it comes to pass, under God, that those friends are yet alive. . . .

The severed bodies of the two heroes were gathered up lovingly by their friends

whom they had made at Court, and in process of time sent to the native place of the two men, near Hangchou, in the Chêh-kiang province, among the most famous hill and lake scenery of China.

And on the 4th of November the gentry of Hangchou gathered to pay their last respects to the two who had loved the country which the others despised. Crowds were present, and among them some Western sympathisers. And the fact that these two statesmen, and not the Court intriguers, represent the nobler public feeling of the nation, is embodied in the elegy which was recited on that occasion. This, being published in the native papers, has gone into many provinces, and nowhere without evoking keenest sympathy. Reproduced in humble translation, the reader will feel that the lines represent not so much the skill of the Chinese elegist, as the deep feeling of the human heart when confronted by such nobility of character as

may well be celebrated throughout the wide world.

### THE ELEGY.

So firm of purpose that we thought, alas,  
 "Two hearts in one could snap the bars of brass."  
 All undismayed at each successive ill,  
 Ye swore to save the Realm—ah, dauntless will!  
 The Realm whose steps were tott'ring as ye knew,  
 For not in book-lore versed alone, your view  
 Comprised the many perils of the State;  
 Ye saw the times were troublous, full of fate,  
 Yet made your venture, rowed against the tide—  
 That storm-swept torrent that ye had not tried.  
 Thrice, weeping tears of blood, ye prayed and  
     warned,  
 "True words offend the ear," your words were  
     scorned.  
 And so ye died, died leaving legacy  
 Of heart-ache sore, at martyred loyalty.  
 Sincere of soul, ye fell; the pit was deep;  
 Your feet were snared—and it is ours to weep.  
 Yet, far beyond the gates of cruel Peking,  
 Your matchless courage shall a nation sing.  
 Ye dared to speak your mind, to do your deed,  
 With simple probity as life-long creed;  
 And e'en in death, oh surely, do ye gain  
 A worthy goal for lives so free from stain.  
 Of tireless vig'lance, trusty to the core,  
 Your fame, enshrined within a nation's lore,

Shall stand, as stand the hills around your home—  
The far-famed hills, now famous for your tomb;  
Entwined in hearts, like eddies on the mere—  
Whose bowing sedges drop the trembling tear.

Ye left us but a hundred days ago,  
And ye are gone indeed! Those days must grow  
To thousand autumns ere ye come once more.  
We bow a last farewell, and humbly pour  
Our cup of simple wine before the bier—  
The ancient pledge of fellowship most dear—  
Recalling all the converse of the past:  
The genial words and smiles from first to last.

Now, merged with glist'ring stars will ye remain;  
While we, alas, grope on 'mid storm and rain.

Why should not judges get riches, as well as those who deserve them less?—*Samuel Johnson, Boswell's "Life,"* 1857, p. 245.

Gentleness of manners, an engaging address, and an insinuating behaviour, are real and solid advantages, and none but those who do not know the world treat them as trifles.—*Lord Chesterfield's "Letters,"* cxv.

Fine words and an insinuating appearance are seldom associated with true virtue.—*Confucius, "Analects,"* Book I., chap. iii.

## CHAPTER IX

### MANDARINDOM

WE have now to consider that "lord mayor's show" of urchins with red boards, an umbrella-bearer or two, evil-visaged lictors *ad lib.*, and the great sedan-chair, which we saw, in glaring incongruity to all surroundings, passing along the Shanghai Bund. Had we met it in the streets of any Chinese city, it might have appealed to us as containing some elements of barbaric splendour, albeit of a shabby-genteel kind, and we can imagine that amid such surroundings, and to Chinese eyes, it might appear quite imposing. But here, along a macadamised road, with trees on one side and three-storied edifices on the other,

amid 'rickshas, carriages, and well-drilled police, it was manifestly barbaric with the splendour left out. Which means—and a significant fact this—that Western civilisation reveals the incongruities of mandarindom.

One authority on China has hardly been quoted in these pages, the Empress Dowager. It would only be gallant to allow a lady of such dignity to have her say on a subject which she has made a life-long study.

On the general subject of the old economy, including the institution of mandarindom, she said (Imperial Decree, November 13, 1898): "As the Empire has always prospered under the old *régime*, and the methods of old—inaugurated and sanctioned by the sacred ancestors of our dynasty—have attained the acme of excellence, there is no necessity for making any changes. . . ." While with regard to the case of individual mandarins, she said



(July 18, 1899): "Bearing in mind the corrupt and dishonest conduct of officials, which . . . has lasted for years, . . . we repeatedly urged upon our Viceroy and Governors the duty of exercising prudence and care in the selection of honest and proper men as sub-prefects and district magistrates, who would earnestly strive to cleanse *the dishonesty and corruption prevalent in the yaméns*. . . . We now remind them of their duty to be continually on the watch to prevent dishonesty and extortion within their jurisdictions. . . ."

From this we should gather that, though the mandarin system is excellent, many individual mandarins do not in their general conduct exemplify the excellences of the system; though even the latter point seems to be debated by Chester Holcombe (*The Real Chinaman*, 1895, chap. x.). Must we venture to differ, and with most Europeans, and with, perhaps, three hundred million Chinese to support our verdict, say that

although mandarindom is about as corrupt an institution as can be, various individual mandarins are exceedingly respectable and highly-respected men?

There lies before me on the table an annual land-tax paper, which had to do with one's own premises in China. It is marked in the plainest figures (an equivalent of) 30 cents Mex., and was bought as a receipt from the collector for one dollar thirty cents! The collector was an unsalaried financier, who needed what proportion he could retain from hungry *yamén* underlings of the odd thirty cents, and the difference between the remaining dollar and the thirty cents marked on the paper would go to the county mandarin, who is practically an unsalaried man, having for his recognised stipend a sum comparable to that claimed in Shanghai by an average house-boy, a sum which would not cover the salary of the mandarin's secretary, not to mention the hundred and one paid or

unpaid *attachés* of his *yamén*. Besides this, however, a county mandarin is allowed about £100 per annum, as a "save-face" or anti-extortion sum. But even thus, in the book of the law of mundane necessity it is written, "Mandarindom doth not live by taxes alone," even though a county mandarin may, as a matter of custom, pocket twice the amount he hands on to his superior the prefect, who of course makes like deductions before he hands the rest on to the next higher official—who does the same. The Chinese phrase for an upright mandarin is one who does not drink the well-water of the district without paying the populace for it. But how can the man live? His proclivities for a neighbouring well may not be very pronounced, especially if he has read up any translated work on zymotic diseases and sewage contamination. But however upright his intentions, he must get a living somehow or other. And as he has expended a large sum in

what we should vulgarly describe as buying his preferment—a sum probably loaned by various friends—he must make money, at any rate to the extent of that amount. Then, his expenses with superior mandarins are great. Apart from all ceremonial presents, every case of a criminal condemned to death, being handed on from the county court to the prefectural *yamén* and upwards, costs the county mandarin over \$1,000, or £100, in “stationery expenses” alone. So that, if some criminal cases are a great expense, he must do his best to make money out of civil cases, and accept at least the proffered “presents” from both plaintiff and defendant.

A Chinese scholar enters the toils of mandarindom with some respect for the conscience-stirring maxims of Confucius, but how can he possibly work out those maxims in practice? Daniel might remain alive for some hours in a den of unfed lions, but we can hardly imagine him taking up

his permanent abode there, and for the miracle to be prolonged indefinitely. His bones would surely be picked clean before many days had passed. And they are few whose Confucian conscience can remain alive and robust year after year in the lions' den of mandarindom. It has been affirmed that no mandarin in office could well be a Christian. Is there not also a mutual exclusiveness between the moral (as distinct from the political) system of Confucius and mandarindom?

Should we not have more than a shadowy parallel between modern China, were he whom the Chinese call the Master to suddenly appear in the flesh, and old Judæa, when He whom we call Lord did appear in the flesh? For the mandarin is Pharisee in ceremony (Sadducee in creed), and Publican in practice, rolled into one. And there is little doubt as to the treatment that mandarindom would mete out to Confucius, were he to revisit his old haunts in northern China once more.

“But 'tis their duty, all the learned think,  
T' espouse his cause by whom they eat and drink,'

as Dryden reminds us.

While we agree with the celebrated Han Yü, of the T'ang dynasty (A.D. 764-824), that “were it not for the Sages, (Chinese) mankind would have perished long ago”—perished, at any rate, in regard to things admirable—our wonder is that, with the cancer of mandarindom preying upon the body politic, China has not perished long ago.

The explanation is simple, however. The nation has lasted under numerous modifications for the reason that its millions of populace have lasted, and because there has been no nation near at hand which has been big enough to absorb China. But its “break-up” under rival rulerships has happened, for a while at least, not once but often. Changeless China! It has changed mightily in poli-



tical aspect every second or third century since the days of Confucius. Yet, as a French statesman quoted by Herbert Spencer says, "Empires fall, Ministries pass away, but the Bureaux remain." That has been the one changeless element.

But just as the bulk of a Chinese city may often be found outside the city walls, so the chief part of the actual government of China is carried on outside the mandarin's *yamén*. And it is the unofficial government in the little clan-circles, by the village head-man, or the local school-master, arbitrators and peacemakers—the latter appearing in couples at every quarrel—which has been the prop of every dynasty and the saving of the nation. Although, on the other hand, mandarindom is largely responsible for that love of peace which is ingrained into the Chinese nature, for litigation may often spell ruination, perhaps to both parties.

While the mandarin gains his position



from a literary style based upon the Confucian writings, and therefore utilises Confucianism, and also feels that "those systems which teach about heaven and hell (Buddhism and Taoism) are a help to governing, and make up for the lack in the efficiency of the rewards and punishments" (*vide* Diary of Marquis Tsêng), and therefore utilises these also, what is there in Western civilisation or intercourse that mandarindom can possibly utilise? The people have rebelled times without number against the extortions of mandarindom, and will any sort of education, imported from lands where mandarindom is unknown, make the populace more submissive to extortion, however much the missionary may exhort his converts to be good citizens and respect the powers that be?

As Wau Sing, a Chinese banker in Chicago, once said to a reporter, "The advance of the so-called civilisation! I hate every step of it!" so mandarindom

is groaning all the time. For the "rise of the people" and its own continued existence are manifestly incompatible; it means their rising before long once and for all against mandarindom. The one man who may very reasonably be scared at the Yellow Peril is surely the mandarin.

"For should Western influence spread through China, as a ride in our sedan-chair along the Shanghai Bund assures us to be highly probable, then are our days numbered. And what of our vested interests?"

"Then that great red-brick Customs building in the centre of the Bund for all to see, representing a conspiracy of the Westerners whereby, on receipt of a definite salary, the whole of the proceeds go out of our mandarin cash-boxes to the Imperial Government!—shall we in time have to bow to the popular demand and learn from the barbarians, so as to come down to that?"

And a voice from the blue makes answer, "Yes, or *go!*"

At Rome especially, the national religion was simply and solely self-adoration. This was the idol which received more incense than all the gods of Greece.—*Pressensé*.

The Chinese Empire, with its feudal ranks and its conservative institutions, is itself the object of Chinese worship.—*Geo. Matheson*.

## CHAPTER X

### THE LAND OF ÆSTHETIC TRADITIONS

It is not impossible that the title of the present chapter may evoke a mental conversation between certain readers and the author, as follows:—

“Æsthetic pigtails!”

“Nay. Rather the land where the pigtail is objected to on æsthetic grounds.”

“Then you do not refer to ‘The Land of the Pigtail?’”

“Strictly speaking, no.”

“Thought you meant China.”

“I do.”

In China the truth always lies a foot below the surface. One must never generalise on the obvious there. “The Land

of the Pigtail" is a traveller's generalisation. There was once a land to which the epithet might have been more safely applied. It was the land in which a distinguished gentleman once exclaimed, "When a man loses his queue, his head should go with it"—a sentence emphasised with an oath which was considered to be neither improper nor impolite in those days. In that land the pigtail was once adopted as an æsthetic adornment, and to this day is enshrined in the national art. The speaker was Sir William Fairfax, father of the famous mathematician, Mary Somerville.

The phrase "Land of the Pigtail," stripped of all porcine suggestions, would properly indicate Manchuria. And not a few Chinese, watching the rapidly revolving kaleidoscope of events, have begun to prophesy the passing away of the Manchu dynasty, and to look upon their cranial appendages as a mere fashion of the day. For two hundred and fifty years, following

the anarchy of eight rebel armies, has the pigtail been forced upon the Chinese nation, to hide from the conquered the fewness of their conquerors. But two and a half centuries count little in a land whose definite history goes back nearly three thousand years, and where time is regarded much as we regard space in this age of steam and electricity.

In not a few homes there are cherished histories of the fidelity of ancestors who, in their attachment to distinctively Chinese modes of dress, chose to suffer the loss of their heads rather than submit to the behest of the Manchu innovator. The Taiping rebellion of reversion to type is not such a very distant event; and then the queue was discarded by millions.

On æsthetic grounds the literati all object to the queue. It is found in no painted portrait or work of art. Barbers are not allowed to compete for degrees until the third and fourth generation, and are thus

classified with the degraded classes in company with rascaldom of the most pronounced type. Doubtless political reasons have weighed much in bringing this about, but the ruling instinct among the literati as such has been the æsthetic. And this has affected the importation of many a custom from abroad.

Buddhism has prospered in China, according to Marquis Tsêng, owing to the fact that "the works on Buddhism translated into Chinese, from the excellency of their literary style, appealed to the educated section of the community." He forgets that Buddhism was opposed by the literati for a full thousand years, and at the end of that time had hardly the hold upon China that Christianity has gained after a mere half-century. But it is a fact that not until the gleaming hill-top temple and its vesper bell had entered into the poems of the nation did Buddhism gain a real hold upon the educated section of the



community. And toward this result the Buddhist adoption of the most æsthetic flower of China doubtless helped. "My favourite flower is the lotus," wrote a scholar of the eleventh century. "How stainless it rises from its slimy bed! How modestly it reposes on the clear pool—an emblem of purity and truth. Symmetrically perfect, its subtle perfume is wafted far and wide; while there it rests in spotless state, something to be regarded reverently from a distance, and not to be profaned by familiar approach."

From of old there have been two gates in the great wall of Chinese exclusiveness. One is called Utilitarianism; the other and grander is called Æstheticism. And China through the ages has been ruled by scholars whose whole bias (apart from the vulgar utilitarianism of £ s. d.) has been on æsthetic lines. The chief value of the Confucian writings, did scholars express what they feel, lies nowadays in the highly

æsthetic manner in which the sage and his disciples have expressed themselves, and in the fact that by studying and approaching the same æsthetic style, the gates of mandarindom are supposed to open to every poor scholar.

The written characters of the "sacred sage" are in themselves works of art, and that on lines of composition which every Western artist would accept. *Making a picture* may be the popular conception of the artist's task; but *the æsthetic grouping of given elements* is the higher principle in the artist's mind. And studying any fine specimen of Chinese calligraphy from his own standpoint, a British artist would find all his unwritten rules of mass-grouping illustrated. It is no necessary reflection on Chinese pictorial art which ranks a well-written inscription higher than any but the finest pictures of China. There are reasons for it which are most apparent to those most fully initiated in universal principles of art.

From the characters themselves it is an easy stage to the grouping of characters into harmoniously balanced sentences. "Tell us a tale" is the popular appeal to the artist everywhere. "Let it be a true tale" add some. "Let it be a thrilling tale" say others. But the artist feels that he is first and last an artist. "Art for its own sake" is his motto, and all else must remain a distinctively secondary consideration. If his art lie in the region of written narration, one set of readers may vote him dull, and another set may vote him a liar. He cares for none of these things; his narrative is high art, and art-wisdom is justified of her children.

A Chinese scholar once brought me a wonderful series of maps of the province of Kiangsi. The map-drawer had gone on a special pilgrimage through every county in the province, and had drawn these valuable maps from actual survey. That

seemed promising, although the price asked would have strained the resources of any but the wealthy. With almost reverent care the precious bundle was unrolled, and though prepared for something very Chinese, the result might well surprise any one accustomed to Western map-work. With the fine touches of a miniature painting, the artist had drawn a bird's-eye view of each county upon a separate square of white silk, lining out the mountains in delicate gold tracery. Each square was a treasure; no lover of the beautiful in decorative landscape but would prize such artistic creations. But they were landscapes in poem, not maps. As well mistake a Turner painting of any given scene for an accurately proportioned coloured photograph of the actual place as call one of these a map. And so with the Chinese official narrative of any given political event. Who could make such a mistake, except an unsophisticated Western journa-

list (if such a being is to be found in this twentieth century)? Whatever be the accurate account (say) of the tragedy of 1900, or anything else in which "foreigners" are concerned, be sure the official account of that occurrence does not embody it. Turner the glorious a mere photographer! Shades of Ruskin, the thought is barbaric!

As the classics of China have given such a high place to the æsthetic proprieties, those proprieties have long since been regarded as belonging to the highest realm of things sacred—as sacred to the literati of China as was the Temple to the Jews. True, there may be no Shekinah glow within, but "consider the Temple, how it is adorned with goodly stones and offerings." The scholar's national pride and the mandarin's vested interests gather around that Temple. "Is the Temple forthwith to be trodden underfoot of the Gentile foreigners? Is it to be dismantled until there shall not be left one stone upon

another? Missionaries do reverence Confucius, employ Confucian scribes, and with their aid produce books in whose verbiage the æsthetic proprieties are observed. But the mine-pro prospector and railway engineer are different. They do not even learn the colloquial language!" And partly from these considerations it comes to pass that (as the Tientsin correspondent of *The Standard* once pointed out) "the only railway in (north) China—the Peking-Taku line—runs over a road worked by missionaries for twenty years before it was found possible to build it; and directly it was attempted to make lines where the missionary had not paved the way there was trouble, and the railway stations were the first things destroyed." And the stations referred to were destroyed at the behest of the æsthetic mandarin.

Much further education of the utilitarian instinct must take place, or else force from afar must be applied, before such a desecra-



of the national Temple can be endured. The Jews, with all their traffic in the Temple courts, would have been humbled exceedingly before they would have allowed a subway to a railway station to have been constructed within the Temple enclosure.

As it is, the one point of appeal which the missionary has (apart from the renovation of popular manners) is that a Christian civilisation is the sole means of escape from the tangled net of China's national sorrows. And this, indeed, was the last message of the heroic Tan Tze-tung to a mandarin friend before he went up to the capital to die in 1898. But as for the literati generally, their Confucian training has lifted their heads too high for them to see the needs of personal salvation; the long-established system of mandarindom has made bribery and corruption in the law-courts to seem almost Confucian; and conscience is bound into the benumbed condition of the women's "golden lilies."



But bringing as it does a higher utilitarianism for the realm at large, many a Chinese scholar is turning to Christianity with half-glances of admiration on that account—inviting it into the courtyard. As a preliminary, the missionary is assured, to its taking possession of the inner shrine of personality and character.

In his *Stones of Venice* Ruskin depicts the attitude of the lovers of the old ways towards the new and vigorously growing Protestantism. This on the one hand, and “on the other stood or seemed to stand all beloved custom and believed legend; all that for centuries had become closest to the hearts of men . . . long trusted legend, long revered power”—in which long vested interests may perchance have been incorporated. And thus stands the Chinese scholar of to-day at the parting of the ways. He would like to pull back the clock-hands of the centuries, but sees how the Manchu Court failed to do so in 1900—a more

tragic year for Manchu prestige than for Western lives. He dare not try and repeat the experiment, even were he ferociously inclined towards the "ocean men." He sees that the times are moving, and that if he himself may not have to move much, his sons must. Necessity compels and utilitarian considerations invite. But alas for the unique æstheticism to which he has so long been wedded!

Proud land ! what eye can trace thy mystic lore,  
Lock'd up in characters as dark as night ?

## CHAPTER XI

### THE TRIPLE LANGUAGE OF CHINA

THE unique difficulties of the Chinese language has been a theme for many a writer. And on the other side there is an article in existence "On the supposed difficulty of Chinese," in which the writer (Alfred Lister) makes bold to say, "I maintain that, all things considered, it is an easy language, easier than French, German, or any other European language, and that it can be spoken fluently after a shorter period of study."

The bewildered novice may naturally ask which view is the correct one. And the answer is, Both. Let us explain this at length.

The term "Chinese language" may refer to either or all of three things: (1) Colloquial Chinese; (2) a written dialect based upon that colloquial; (3) the literary language of China. And the last-named is exceedingly difficult to master.

Mr. Lister's remarks were made upon the colloquial language. And they would seem to be justified from the fact that missionaries are in the habit of preaching and teaching within a year of their arrival in the country; while some have launched forth as public speakers at the end of seven or eight months. And these early effusions are readily understood of the people, although the vocabulary of the speaker will necessarily be somewhat meagre.

What difficulties colloquial Chinese presents, apart from its unrelatedness to any Western language, lie chiefly in the fact that each word should properly be uttered in a certain fixed tone. We are accustomed, for instance, to utter

the last syllable of a question in a rising tone. But to do so in speaking Chinese, might turn the final word of a sentence into something quite different from what we intended.

Taking the "tones" of Mid-China for the moment—and they vary in different parts—let us imagine ourselves listeners to the following conversation (with due apologies to the Japanese nation):

"Hi! Are you a Jap?"

"A Jap!"

"I mean a Japanese gentleman."

"I am."

The exclamation "Hi!" would naturally be uttered in a high even tone, which gives us Tone 1. The querying word "Jap" would be uttered in an ascending tone, which gives us Tone 4. The shocked rejoinder would naturally be uttered in a low and slightly ascending tone, which gives us Tone 2, and not to draw too fine distinctions, Tone 5 also. And in the

final reply, the word "am" would be uttered in a firm descending tone of voice, which gives us Tone 3.

Now the word for "smoke" has by rights to be always uttered in a high even tone (number 1), and "salt" should always be mentioned in a low tone (number 2); "eye" should be uttered in a firm descending tone (number 3); and "satiated" in a fairly high ascending tone (number 4), although in English all four words would be simply written *yen*.

This at first looks sufficiently forbidding. But in practice, most of these monosyllabic words are coupled with others which, apart from the correctness of "tone," effectually prevent misunderstanding. Thus "water-*yen*" or "dry-*yen*" can only refer to tobacco as smoked in the water-pipes or dry-pipes; "*yen-iris*" can only refer to the eye; and "*yen-reject*" can only refer to satiety or nausea.

As a fact, fewer ridiculous mistakes are



made in colloquial Chinese by the learner than in any other language. If he give the wrong tone—and some never master the right ones—the impression conveyed is that he is from another part of China, where the tones differ or may even be almost reversed. So that the tone element need form no formidable barrier to the learner, however wanting in musical “ear” he may be.

To the question as to why the Chinese should differ from all other nations in requiring fixity of tone with every syllable, the reply is that apart from such a method of ekeing out the actual sounds of the language, the extreme paucity of such sounds would create a great difficulty, there being hardly more than four hundred differing sounds in use anywhere, and in the region of Hankow hardly more than three hundred.

Apart from the tones, the simplicity of the language will be readily apparent when it has been explained that there are no

inflections, hardly any plural distinctions, and that as a fact the most illiterate native never makes a grammatical blunder, there being no grammatical rules which he could break. Of course this extreme simplicity may itself be a hindrance to the learner, but by entering the kingdom of Chinese as painters have (emotionally at any rate) to enter the kingdom of art, and versifiers the kingdom of poesy—"as a little child," the simplicity of the language becomes an undoubted element of ease in the acquirement of Chinese colloquial. Most Chinese sounds are at one time or another uttered by infants the wide world over. And little children just learning to speak will imitate not only the sounds but the tones also of words given them to repeat. So that Chinese, especially in the softened syllables of what are called the "mandarin speaking" districts, is indeed most literally

"the simplest form of speech  
That infant lips can try ;"

and becomes simple and easy to the learner as he learns to unlearn, and to renew his youth once more.

What is called "Mandarin" Chinese (or the Court dialect) is spoken with little variation by three-fourths of the Chinese nation, and by all mandarins wherever they may be stationed. It is the language of China, with the exception of the southern coast provinces from Shanghai eastward to the borders of Annam. These southern dialects, however, represent the more ancient sounds of the Chinese language: the purely Chinese populace having been driven southwards by successive inroads of the Huns and Tartars, just as the Britons were anciently driven by successive invasions to the west of England.

Three hundred millions of the Chinese will understand each other's speech with little difficulty, but meeting a man belonging to the remaining hundred millions of the south, they will be dealing with a semi-

foreigner, and have the same difficulty in understanding him as a modern Spaniard might be presumed to have in dealing with an old Roman.

So much for the spoken language of China. The remaining divisions of the subject concern the written language, whether based upon the colloquial of any given part, or common to the whole of educated China in a literary style based on the ancient classics.

The Chinese characters are veritable hieroglyphics, being originally so many picture signs engraven on stone, and still redolent with much sacredness in the Chinese estimation. The original signs for sun, moon, mountain, water, and others are very identical with those of Egypt, and the earliest signs for horse, ox, sheep, deer, tree, grass, fish, boat, carriage, differ from the hieroglyphics of Egypt merely in subject and not in kind.

It may be naturally objected that in no

modern Chinese character can be found a likeness of anything in the heaven above or in the earth beneath. The explanation is that while originally composed of graceful curves, the Chinese hieroglyphics became early engraved upon thin slips of bamboo, where the grain would be exceedingly unsuitable for circular strokes but very suitable for angular gashes, and that the ancient ideographs became thus modified to suit the new conditions of engraving. Then when the brush-pen was introduced in the third century B.C., with silk in place of bamboo, and paper following silk, the brush-pen still held the traditions, and imitated the strokes found in the bamboo records.

To understand the difficulty of learning the Chinese characters, imagine yourself in the midst of a community of two thousand folks to whom it is your duty to be introduced, and after introduction to remember the name and status of each for ever after-

wards, and you have the requirements for reading the simplest book in the Chinese language fairly represented. For Chinese characters are like men's faces in conveying little or no hint as to their names. Having learned to recognise a few to start with, the manifest family likenesses may help the learner to make guesses now and then. But only to guess—and often the guess, however ingenious, may be very wide of the mark. The only available plan is repeated introduction till the name seems to look out of the face in question, and one instinctively knows this person or this character to be called White or Black, Brown or Green, Hill or Field, and so on.

But even then the difficulty is not over, for certain Chinese characters, like certain human characters, have one or more *aliases*. "One man in his turn plays many parts." Gladstone may be statesman and Homeric scholar, theological apologist and wood-cutter all in one. And so with many a



Chinese ideograph according to circumstances.

Two thousand characters is, of course, a minimum estimate, although a full knowledge of that number will form a passable stock-in-trade for a start. The translated New Testament contains 2,713 different characters, the whole library of the thirteen classics, 6,544; while the sum total of orthodox characters in the Chinese language amounts to 40,919. It is refreshing to learn that no Chinese brain has grasped them all, and that when one of the finest scholars in the realm was complimented upon his wonderful prowess in hieroglyphic lore, he replied with much sincerity—

“Not many I know, 'tis true,  
But I know how to use those few.”

In the *Nineteenth Century* of February, 1900, Mr. Joseph H. Choate propounded “a new and startling proposition” under the name of “Harmonic Literature,” by



the application of which each word should give or suggest a chord of meaning, as in musical score. And his paper, with little adaptation, might pass for an able description of literary Chinese and its effects upon the reader's mind.

Dr. Martin, of Peking, has described Chinese as "a language of stepping-stones," affording scope for the leaping imagination. And no greater contrast to the exceeding terseness of literary Chinese than some passages in early Hebrew literature could well be imagined. Whatever date Higher Critics may ascribe to such a paragraph as that of Numb. ix. 21-23, where fifty words are used in the Hebrew Bible to explain that the movement of the tabernacle followed the commandment of the Lord, every one must agree that the clause in question was produced when writing materials were cheap, and probably by a people familiar with the use of papyrus, or at any rate parchment.

But in China the gist of the whole would be expressed by five characters: "Tent following command moved stopped." And some of these five characters would furnish a "chord" in themselves; as, for instance, the sign for *command*, which consists of a stroke and a man (one person), a seal and a mouth (the signed and sealed utterance of one person proclaimed vocally).

Then to later literary Chinese must be added the element of allusiveness. In poetry especially it assumes the reader's intimate acquaintance with numberless classical sentences and historical occurrences. In this respect it may be paralleled by one or two lines of Milton, if written without capitals or line-divisions: "In hesebon and horonaim seon's realm beyond the flowry dale of sibma clad in vines and ealeale to the asphaltic pool." But even thus its obscurity to the uninitiated is hardly represented, for we at once guess which words are names of places, as we might not were

we to read: "In stronghold and in double caves fortress realm," and so on.

Yet such is the only literature recognised by the literati of China. And just as every guest who calls upon a mandarin must do so in dress-coat and a sedan-chair, so every guest for the literary faculties must appear in the approved fashion, or be regarded as intentionally insulting, like the man at the Oriental marriage feast who appeared among the guests without the wedding garment.

The absence of punctuation marks has already been alluded to, and is felt to be a difficulty at times to the most accomplished native student. But instead of commas and full-stops there are a number of characters introduced into the text itself. These are called "particles," and supply a sort of internal punctuation. They are like the sergeants and corporals which, though taking their places at intervals in the line, do to the careful observer divide up that line into sections.

These sections are properly short ones. In literary Chinese, as in social feasts, everything is cut up into easy mouthfuls. The basis of the Chinese sentence is usually four characters. Thousands of choice four-character phrases may be culled from early writers, to lend a touch of literary piquancy to a modern composition. And one of the arts of the modern essayist is to introduce as many as possible of these gem-like crystals into his mosaic-work. Thus describing an impracticable enthusiast, the hyperbole "feeding on clouds, sleeping in the moon" might be employed, and for the utterly besotted or thoughtless the phrase, "Living in intoxication, dying in a dream" would be appropriate.

Another characteristic which the above specimens introduce is that of parallelism. The genius of the Chinese language and thought is that of a broad dualism. Something hanging on each side, "to make the balance true," is a felt want among all

classes, from the burden-bearer with his pole to the sentence-moulder and the philosopher. In matters religious it rather assists the Chinese imagination than otherwise to have two sets of idols—one Western (Buddhist) and another native (Taoist), and for the votaries of both cults to hope to find their way, on the one hand to the “Western Paradise,” and on the other to the “Nine-storied Heavens” immediately overhead. In regions æsthetic this instinct lies at the basis of our musical “harmony” and “counterpoint.” And whatever rules of pictorial art are now out of date with us, such as the “three-light” rule observable in many of the older masterpieces of landscape painting, or the “pyramid composition” so plainly exhibited in such paintings as Wilkie’s *Blind Fiddler*, the element of subtle parallelism remains and will always remain. It is found in nearly all ancient literature, and seems to be based upon a *law* of æsthetics rather than the *rule* of any

passing fashion. Well-poised parallelism is the germ of a Chinese poetic style, and there is little literary Chinese prose which is innocent of this adornment.

The final characteristic of Chinese style with which the patient reader will be troubled is that of rhythm. A sentence in the first Hebrew Psalm reads literally: "And in His law doth he hum day and night." For whereas we are accustomed to peruse our books in silence, the Orientals hum or chant theirs, as every resident in China is aware—sometimes only too painfully. This requires that literary Chinese should consist of rhythmic prose adapted to chanting, like the clauses of our own anthem-prose.

If in style, so in subject-matter, Chinese literature must resemble the classics. These to the Chinese mind are crammed with ideal moral philosophy. And although æsthetic musings upon Nature and social intercourse, the higher emotions of friend-



ship and the exhilarations of the wine-cup are stock themes for poetry, all literary prose must have a professedly high moral purpose. Every one of the literati must be a moral philosopher, whether, in the words of the Sage, he is "born so, or has learned to be such, or has laboriously struggled into the ranks of the learners."

From the above considerations it will be obvious that there is no opening for the writer of light literature in the realm of Chinese prose as generally defined. For in that realm all are in "holy orders" assembled in Convocation with solemnised hearts. Fiction of the purest nature, and written with undoubted genius, is summed up in the one phrase "trifling talk," which being adequately translated, means *trash*. "A celebrated Western writer of trash has fallen ill, and Western Emperors have sent their condolences," was the Chinese newspaper account of the illness of Rudyard Kipling in 1899.

Before the Chinese Hall of Moral Litera-



ture hang boards bearing the legend, "No idlers admitted," and every novelist is regarded as an idler in this Realm of Lofty Purpose. Cant may and does enter the Sacred Convocation, and priggishness is everywhere found therein, but novelists—avaunt!

Not that the literati do not purchase and devour the works of their various novelists, even those which are written in the semi-colloquial style, but these things are done on the sly. Yet as bookstalls are on the increase in all the large centres of modern China, we may devote a chapter to examining their contents, merely adding here that as Chaucer unfolded some of the possibilities of the English language, so there have been novelists in China, some of them almost comparable to Chaucer in the matter of dramatic portraiture, who have helped to develop a popular-literary dialect, based upon the "mandarin," which shall be understandable by natives of most of the eighteen provinces.

William of Malmesbury picked up his history, from the time of Venerable Bede to his own time, out of old songs.—*Aubrey*.

Nought is more honourable to a knight,  
No better doth beseem brave chivalry,  
Than to defend the feeble in their right.

*Spenser.*

Men will always be what women make them ; if, therefore, you would have men great and virtuous, impress on the minds of women what greatness and virtue are.—*Rousseau*.

## CHAPTER XII

### A CHINESE BOOKSTALL

THERE is a Chinese work of two centuries ago, known as *The Household Treasure*, consisting of twenty-four volumes of double-distilled goody-goodness. Within its covers, however, is a little section devoted to humorous tales and tales which are meant to be humorous, from which we will quote a motto-anecdote for the present chapter.

A certain musician once went into the street carrying his harpsichord of delicately sweet sound, and commenced to play thereon. Thinking they heard a lute, of somewhat questionable associations, a large crowd gathered to listen; but, finding that

the instrument was the æsthetic harp-sichord, they quickly dispersed. One man alone remained, and the musician comforted himself, saying, "At any rate I have one appreciative listener." But the man to whom he referred dashed his fond hopes by exclaiming, "That's my table you are using! You don't suppose I should stay if it weren't, do you?"

The obvious moral is that while vested interests may account for apparent absorption in the higher refinements of Confucian proprieties—see previous chapter—something more piquant must be devised to tickle the attention of the masses.

At the very bottom of the list of popular Chinese works, we must notice the ballad booklets, cheap and nasty as regards paper and printing, and containing subject-matter to correspond. Their undoubtedly vicious influence, however, lies in their suggestiveness to the Chinese mind, for the few specimens examined contained anything but

realistic portrayal of badness. Whether a more extensive study of these booklets would modify one's verdict is hard to say, for although Lord Macaulay in one of his essays urges that no literature which has influenced the manners of a given generation is beneath the attention of the student, we beg to be excused such a research in the case of ballads which every decent Chinese is ashamed to be caught reading.

Booklets which from their outward appearance might easily be mistaken for those just mentioned, contain the standard plays of China, and may be bought and studied with interest. Their composition dates from the tenth century onwards, and they deal with historical events which were ancient in those far-off times. To some Western readers of Chinese they may appear dull and worthless. The reason may be the lack of "a certain art in reading" them. As Lubbock says of reading generally, "we must endeavour to

realise the scenes described"—in this case so meagrely—"and the persons who are mentioned, to picture them in the Gallery of the Imagination." Especially may this art be acquired when some of these meagrely-worded plays, when acted, draw sincerest tears from the eyes of the crowd around the rough platform-stage.

One of them, abounding in pathos, is published in quarto, from the translation of Sir John Davis, under the name of *The Sorrows of Han*. It describes how a very lovely maiden, whom the Emperor first saw in a dream, was at length discovered and brought to the palace, in spite of the wiles of a faithless Minister; then the wedded bliss of the Emperor (Yuan 'Ti, B.C. 42) and his consort, until, by the traitorous efforts of the same faithless Minister, she was demanded and carried off by a Prince of the Huns, but cast herself into the Heh-lung river rather than pass the limits of her native land.

A popular amplification of the story is current, which seeks to compensate for the tragic end of the real story by representing a younger sister of the victim, and her counterpart in beauty and virtue, becoming the Imperial consort in due course. Without being maudlin in sentiment, this latter version of the story contains over fifty synonyms for weeping or wailing !

Another pathetic story which has been dramatised is that of *The Statesman and the Woodcutter*, a favourite story indeed in Mid-China where it is located, and "a story to shed tears over," as some of the readers of the English translation have remarked. Such a translation forms a chapter in my *String of Chinese Peach-Stones*, and, as was afterwards discovered, had been already rendered into English by "L. M. F.," under the title of "The Broken Lute," in *The Far East*, 1877. On making a pilgrimage to the grave of the woodcutter in whom the high statesman recognised a soul-fellow,



the writer confesses to have felt such emotions as would have been called forth had the wild roses that were plucked therefrom grown upon the grave-mound of a personal friend. And one of the foremost musicians of England has expressed the emotions which the story evokes in no measured strain.

The Chinese themselves have kept that lowly hill-side grave in repair for nearly twenty-five centuries, and have enshrined the memory of the woodcutter youth in their poems and phraseology the wide empire over. Every use of the expressions "sound-knower" and "heart-knower," which we have rendered into English as soul-fellow, is an understood reference to this humble hero, whose intelligent appreciation of sweet music commended him to the statesman-musician, until the twain became "weddyd brethren," as the old English phrase has it.

A still earlier story, dating back to

Davidic days, forms the subject of a play entitled *The Prince Seeking a Counsellor*. And a much-read expansion of the story, *The Making of the Gods*, was written by a scholar of the former half of the seventeenth century, to provide the wherewithal for a dowry for his second daughter. A certain philosopher, who proves to be an utter failure as street huckster and no better as angler—for his rod had a straight needle at the end and was held above the water—being discovered pursuing the latter occupation, formed a cunning counsellor to a noble Prince at a time when the nation was groaning under the misrule of Neroic savagery. But, having slain his country's foes, this counsellor endeavoured to appease their ghosts by making so many deities of them, and they have held rank, according to Taoist mythology, as spiritual protectors of the realm, ever since. Truly a choice specimen of mental topsy-turvydom this! A Chinese speaker at the Chicago Par-

liament of Religions made bold to say: "The pity is that Western people only follow Protestantism and Roman Catholicism and have no true teacher of Taoism," and the decadent religion which he advocates is fain to find its deities among the underlings of the worst tyrant that China has ever seen!

For the popularity of such objects of worship, *The Making of the Gods* seems to be largely responsible. Truly the novelist is, after all, a national factor in China!

With a passing note of appreciation of the many excellences of a work called *The Divided Kingdoms*, a popular expansion of the national records of the centuries around that of Confucius, we may next notice a semi-veracious chronicle of a century of anarchy and confusion—the Chinese Wars of the Roses—in the second and third centuries of our era. This work, in twenty volumes, known as *The Three Kingdoms*, with nine other works current in the earlier

seventeenth century, was singled out by a celebrated editor and critic and dignified with the epithet, "Work of Genius."

As to its make-up, and that of lesser works since, W. F. Mayers says: "In every historical novel we recognise one unflinching round of personages—the wily and favoured counsellor, the plain-spoken but unvalued minister, the sovereign, either founding a dynasty by martial virtues or losing a throne by effeminacy and weakness, the priest with flowing robes concealing a repertory of magic arts, and, finally, the truculent champion, a compound of Hercules and Bombastes, who brandishes sword and lance and club, all of enormous size and weight, like playthings, occupying half the work with his challenges and encounters. . . . To these ingredients add legendary marvels *ad libitum*, miraculous appearances of the gods in times of need, tricks, treacheries, murders, and banquets, and the romance is ready made to hand."

The T'ang dynasty (618-907) next furnishes quite a small library of romances, all apparently written some centuries ago. No traces of genius may be discerned in their pages, but of their immense popularity as text-books for tea-shop orators much might be said. And it is from this functionary of the Chinese "public-house" that the masses learn the history of China. No ancient sage and no modern statesman is so well known to the masses as one or two of the heroes of these romances.

Test the matter by catechising a coolie on the subject. Ask him, "Who was Hsieh Jen-kuei? How much could he eat? How much could he lift?" and so on; and, astonished that a foreigner has really discovered the obvious A B C of historical biography, a bright thought will flash across his intellect. He concludes that you, like himself, have heard that name from your babyhood, and exclaims, "Yes, of course, he went to your foreign parts, did

he not?" And we begin to wonder whether English history of the middle of the seventh century may not, after all, be somewhat fragmentary, omitting as it does a visit from the most illustrious of ancient Chinese heroes to our humble shores.

But memory comes to our rescue, and we recall the precise facts of the case—according to the *Coolie History of China* (Authorised Version). Having received an insult from Korea, not England, the Emperor (Kao Chung, r. 650–684) burned to avenge it in person, but the land route to Korea being then unknown—to the novelist—and the Emperor being mortally afraid of sea-sickness, might have merely vented his rage upon his cooks or housemaids or his statesmen or a wife or two, had he not been *smuggled into Korea without knowing it!*

Problem for Scott, Jules Verne, and *Punch*—How to convey an Emperor and his army from Cheefoo to Korea, without the Emperor knowing that he was travel-



ling at all, still less that he was travelling across the briny deep! Could Western genius successfully grapple with such a difficulty? I trow not. Yet the novelist makes light work of it—on paper. For at the suggestion of the immortal Hsieh, a wooden city was constructed upon a gigantic raft, the Emperor invited on board, and although particulars of navigation are wanting, he did thus actually get to Korea without knowing it, as every coolie in China knows, if we do not!

The Southern Sung dynasty (1127–1280) gives rise to one or two unimportant works of fiction. And the founding of the Ming dynasty (1368) has furnished us with an interesting account of the illustrious Emperor Hung Wu, who rebuilt the city of Nanking on such a grand scale, with walls thirty miles round, whose gates have no need to “lift up their heads,” however high the banners of the entering hosts may wave. The story is only slightly mythical, and agrees with



the orthodox historical records in tracing the rise of the hero from a famine-stricken childhood, then cow-boy, then Buddhist novice, then leader of a band of young dare-devils, then commander of the insurrectionary forces, until success makes the insurrection a revolution, and Hung Wu ascends the Dragon Throne and founds the Ming (or Resplendent) dynasty.

But matters do not stop here, and the dismal sequel has to be chronicled. The woes of his grandson and the fermenting germs of dissolution fill the concluding chapters of the book.

This brings us to the Manchu conquest of China (1644), which, together with the prowess of Wu San-kuei, a faithful general who did his utmost to preserve China for the Chinese, although he had to call in a Manchu tribe for that purpose, and so failed, has also given rise to a work, which, however, is tawdry in execution and unworthy of the occasion.

Such is a fairly complete list of the historical romance literature of past centuries in China. But the last decade of the nineteenth century has produced a work of fiction which for bulk and quality may bear comparison with any previous specimen of light literature. An exhaustive analysis of its contents will be excused when it is explained that it contains a full million characters, while the English Bible contains but 773,746 words, and the Chinese literary-style Bible but 676,827 characters. Which says much for its quality and fascination, else what publisher would have accepted it?

In point of style it may be said to well-nigh exhaust the possibilities of the "popular-literary" language, and in general tone it is as robust and healthy as some Chinese novels are the reverse. Its writer is both a genius and a gentleman.

The title of the work may be rendered *A Noble Brotherhood*, and the heroes which

give it such a name from a class of knight-errantry unknown in Western literature. For they combine the qualities of detectives with those of a very chivalrous knighthood. They may often appear in the guise of the "night-scouting thief," and were they devoid of the virtues they happily possess, would be formidable pests to the community at large. But possessing all the capacities of the most skilful burglar, being adepts at wall-scaling on dark nights and the like, they become, in all their fertility of strata-gem, veritable warrior angels for the succour of the oppressed, as they are a roving Nemesis for the oppressor.

The book abounds in elaborate stories of the *Sherlock Holmes* order, and even Conan Doyle might not scruple to recognise the author as a companion genius in that particular form of literature. And to come to the climax of one section of the work, what Western novelist would first amalgamate the knight and the detective in one, and set

him at work to steal the most sacred item of the crown jewels from the forbidden precincts of the imperial palace, and by a complicated scheme of personification secrete them in the house of a highly connected villain, then get a junior accomplice to come forward and declare through thick and thin, before the severest and keenest-witted judge that China has seen, that it was indeed stolen three years before by the keeper of the regalia, and that he saw it brought to the house where it was found; and yet through all the trickery and perjury involved in the scheme, to conserve the higher requirements of that truthfulness to inner fact which far transcends the mere truth in word—to smash the shell, and yet preserve the delicate kernel intact?

Two specimens of miscellaneous literature may next be noticed as being procurable in every Chinese bookshop. The *Liao-chai* book of marvels, with which the English reader may become familiarised in the form

of Prof. Giles' *Strange Tales*, is the first of its class in point of style and also of date. It is a chosen recreation for literary lovers of the weird. And another mode of escape from the ruddy high-road of Confucian admonition is found in a collection of ghostly and ghastly tales entitled, *What the Master did not talk about*—meaning “Extraordinary things . . . and spiritual beings.”

A Jekyll and Hyde story within its covers might well have suggested that piece of English literature, had R. L. Stevenson been a Chinese student as well as the novelist he was. Moreover, the story is accompanied with an explanation that is logical enough from the Chinese point of view. The Chinese Jekyll (a resuscitated corpse as it happens) was in possession of both his higher soul (*psyche*) and his lower animal spirit (which we may for the moment call his *animus*), the latter being under due control from the former. But as the “dead

man" continued talking to his friend, his *psyche* gradually evaporated, and his residual *animus* became a criminal animosity which sought to murder his friend. And only a speedy flight and the leaping of a low wall averted the tragedy.

Thus Jekyll is seen to be *psyche* plus *animus*, and Hyde to be *animus* minus *psyche*. Which simplifies matters wonderfully; and also explains why Chinese mothers so often stand at the door of an evening and call to the spirit of their little one, who has evidently lost it—being "not himself at all." If "not himself," what is he? Why, *animus* minus *psyche*, of course!

A popular work may next be mentioned as casting all conventionality to the winds, and exhibiting the spirit of higher morality in one who was notorious for neglecting the letter of the laws of decorum. It is known as *The Drunken Demigod*, and deals with the career of a high-born youth who happens to be a re-incarnate *Lohan*



(*arhat* or Buddhist saint), and who by and by awoke to self-consciousness. Refractory as a novice, he becomes an apparently mad monk and a winebibber, and acts in the wildest and most questionable manner. There is, however, a divine method in his madness, and his inner motives and outward achievements furnish an adequate reply to all questionings. Things are not what they seem, and his saintship becomes fully recognised at last.

His vagaries recall to mind a certain St. Symeon Salos whose history is given by Baring Gould. Symeon's claims to canonisation, however, are on a par with those of Nero to fame as a benevolent personage. Young Nero wept as he signed his first death-warrant, and Symeon began well—so well, indeed, that the perusal of the first chapter of his life-story decided the saint-seeking readers to recommend him to the Holy Pontiff. Accidents will happen! and he whose day of homage is July 1, and



whose full history might better suit Chinese print than English, is dismissed by the orthodox hagiologist, Alban Butler, as follows (the comments being those of Baring Gould): "Although we are not obliged in every instance to imitate St. Symeon, and although it would be rash to attempt it without a special call; yet his example ought to make us blush"—*we should think so, indeed*—"when we consider"—*ah!*—"with what ill-will we suffer the least things to hurt our pride." Of the two, we ourselves are inclined to prefer the drunken demigod, for he always stopped short within an inch of transgression, other than drunkenness.

Then we have one or two collections of short stories, the best of which are included under the title of *Wondrous Narratives*. The story of *The Statesman and the Wood-cutter*, contained therein, has been already noticed. Another story in this collection is that entitled, *Fanning the Grave*. It is given by Goldsmith in his *Citizen of*

*the World*, 1763 ; in Davis's *Chinese*, 1845 ; and in Professor Douglas's *Chinese Stories*, 1893.

It now remains to notice the love-tales of China, in which the human heart has to grapple with the limitations of Chinese custom, as well as with the problems that oppress true lovers in Western regions. In all of them, Cupid calls one way and parental arrangement or social circumstances the other.

The earliest of Chinese romantic novels dates back to the fourteenth century, and as the lighter literature of China was then to be found in dramatic form, that form is assumed in the work before us, an operatic love-tale known as *The Western Annex*. A widow and her lovely daughter take up their lodgment with a rogueish waiting-maid and serving-lad in the unoccupied western wing of a large temple. A young graduate enters the temple grounds, and decides from a glimpse of

one of its inmates to lodge there also, in another set of apartments. His emotions do not diminish thus. And it is quite a privilege for him to have the honour of rescuing the others from an attack of brigands; in reward for which services he receives the promise of the maiden's hand. The danger over, however, mamma remembers to forget him, and only through the waiting-maid can he send his literary and verbal effusions to his true love. At length an interview is arranged, whereupon mamma waxes wroth, and sends him off to the capital to take the highest degree if he can, which being acquired, she consents to the ratification of his secret marriage.

Such is the plot of a little drama which has been included among the ten "Works of Genius," and is now issued with a lengthy introduction and copious laudatory commentary by its scholarly editor of the seventeenth century. That it shocked the

taste of many is evident from a sentence in the preface, "Some have come and said that *The Western Annex* is a bad book. Such slanderers shall assuredly be hurled into the tongue-cutting hell. . . . It is an inspired work of genius. . . . To the bad it will appear bad; to the pure it will prove itself an inspiration."

Another poetical romance has been rendered in lineal translation by P. P. Thoms at Macao, 1824; partially transcribed in verse by Dr. Chalmers, 1867; and wholly in prose, with copious notes, by Sir John Bowring, 1868; the latter under the name of *The Flowery Scroll*. It describes how a brave young man is betrothed to a maiden he has never seen, and in love with another whose charms he knows better. He goes off to the wars, and is "killed." Whereupon his faithful affiancée calls herself a widow, and "commits suicide by drowning." This is sufficiently tragic, but we rightly suspect that neither

of the two may be quite so dead as they are reported to be, and anon find the hero returning from the wars with glory. And now, as his betrothed is "dead," he, with the Emperor for match-maker, marries the other one. When lo! his dead betrothed appears on the scene.

Would any Western novelist bring such a complication to a satisfactory end? The Chinese author does so very easily, by making the hero marry both—and more wonderful still, by making all three live happily ever after.

Another such double marriage forms the climax of another work, translated by Professor Julien as *Les Deux Cousines*, which can be read in English on reference to *The China Review*, vol. i., in a lecture entitled, "An Hour with a Chinese Romance," by Alfred Lister, who describes the work as "the best, all things considered, that I know."

Another novel, also of the seventeenth

or eighteenth century, is that known as *The Fortunate Union*, translated by Sir John Davis, but having previously been rendered into French as early as 1766. And another, translated by Professor Julien as *Les Deux Jeunes Filles Lettrées*, in which two pedantic young ladies and two scholarly youths rival one another in producing elegant pieces of prose and poetry.

Of more general interest is *The Galaxy of Heroes*. The little son of one official family is betrothed to the infant daughter of another, and having reached a marriageable age, is despatched to claim his bride from her father, now Governor of Shantung. On the journey thither, however, his treacherous servant robs him and leaves him apparently dead, then proceeds on his journey to personate him before the Governor of Shantung.

Our hero meanwhile is discovered by a gallant young hunter, and slowly recovers.



Meanwhile the young lady, finding that the suitor is of low and mean disposition, refuses to marry him, and feeling assured that he is an impostor, disguises herself in male attire, and, with a faithful attendant, flees to Wuchang to make inquiries of her suitor's mother.

Finding that his daughter has fled, the Governor resolves to hush up the matter, and palm off an ugly waiting-maid upon the false suitor, who is paid out as he deserves. And the right couple get married at last, after a due amount of adventure.

And now we must notice a famous novel which Mayers declares to be, "beyond possibility of cavil, a work for which genuine admiration may be expressed," that most quoted of Chinese tales, *The Dream of the Red Chamber*. With Mayers' verdict, however, some have ventured to differ, notably the writer of an altogether unique review of the work, in *The Chinese Repository*, 1842, who actually mistakes the hero for a



“ very petulant woman ” ! More reliable renderings of the story have appeared from the pen of Mr. Thom, Sir John Davis, and Dr. Edkins as regards part of the work, and by Mayers and Professor Giles as regards the whole—the latter sketch being still on sale.

The author is supposed to have been secretary to an hereditary grandee of the eighteenth century. That household was Manchu, not Chinese, and so undoubtedly are some of the characters and scenes described. The chief character is a spoilt boy, whose disposition is hardly improved by his spending his later teens in the almost exclusive company of his two maiden cousins and their waiting-maids in a sumptuous household. To one of the young ladies he is bound by predestination and by elective affinities. She is of great beauty, but so is her cousin also, and being of delicate health and of a sensitive nature, is constantly driven to despair by the at-

tentions that the spoilt youth bestows on her good-natured but rather stolid rival. By and by the parental powers that be betroth him to the latter maiden. Whereupon his true love pines away and dies. He marries the other against his will, and on the fortunes of the household collapsing he becomes a Buddhist monk.

Such, in barest outline, is the plot of one of the most remarkable works China has ever produced, and with only that plot before us, we may

“Grieve for the senseless youth, the hapless maiden’s woe.”

And that the author intended to call up such emotions, and perhaps give vent to a pessimistic view of the course of true love, impeded as it is by mundane circumstances, seems to be implied from the fact that the line of verse above quoted is part of the dream in the “red chamber,” during which a fairy instructress endeavours to

initiate the hitherto gay and thoughtless youth into the realities of life; in which task she is seconded by a faithful waiting-maid, who now and then urges upon the youth a course more in keeping with his position than the indolent and effeminate one he was adopting.

To the student as such, the book abounds in material for his philosophisings; to the lover of a skilful portrayal of the finer shades of human emotion under ordinary and in abnormal situations, the work is unparalleled in the whole compass of Chinese literature; but to the young Chinese novel-reader, such a book will form anything but wholesome diet. And we can well understand why, apart from the disclosure of family secrets—and the writer evidently drew from the life—such a work should have been proscribed by the authorities for fifty years after its publication; that it should have been again proscribed in the thirties (when MS. copies sold for

as much as £7 or £10), and that it should be proscribed at the present moment. With the result that it can be bought everywhere under the innocuous *alias* of *The Stone Records*.



Let a man of the present age go back to the ways of antiquity;—on the persons of all who do so calamities are sure to fall.—*Confucius*, “*Doctrine of the Mean*,” xxviii. 1.

## CHAPTER XIII

### A DAILY NEWSPAPER

AMONG the edicts put forth to check the modernisation of China after the *coup d'état* of September, 1898, was one decreeing the abolition of the native newspapers which had sprung into being in the chief Treaty ports of China. Its text may be given as illustrating the attitude of the Manchu Government toward what have come to be considered by us of the West as so many daily necessities, and their editors, well, as fairly respectable men according to our barbarian estimate of things. Let us, however, own our ignorance, and consent to be instructed by one who has ranked higher than any Son of Heaven which the Court



of Cathay has produced for some decades. She says:—

“As newspapers only serve to excite the masses to subvert the present order of things and the editors thereof are composed of the dregs of the literary classes, no good can be served by the continuation of such dangerous instruments, and we hereby command the entire suppression of all newspapers published within the Empire, while the editors connected with them are to be arrested and punished with the utmost rigour of the law” (Imperial decree, October 8, 1898).

On this *The North China Herald* naturally remarked: “If we may liken the effect of the Japan-Chinese war to a severe electric shock administered to China, we may also speak of the native Press as a telegraphic system conveying an electric current of new ideas throughout the length and breadth of the land. To cut off this current, to which the nation has now become

habituated, is a crime and a stupidity which is worse than a crime. The Empress Dowager is like Mrs. Partington with her mop; the tide of enlightenment is flowing in China, and if she and her friends at Peking had a little more knowledge of the world, she would know that her edicts cannot possibly stop the rising of the tide."

But her helplessness was displayed in a manner which the Western reader, unaccustomed to ways and means in China, would hardly guess. For most of the newspapers have continued from that day to this, as though no edict had been issued. There would be two possible ways of achieving this result. One would be to subsidise the mandarins of the neighbourhood. And the second, which was the method adopted, would be to add a foreign name as proprietor on the front sheet, thus placing the newspaper under foreign protection. And this latter method is in vogue in many another enterprise than that

of journalism. The avidity with which many a Chinese capitalist or merchant has availed himself of Western protection against the extortions of the Chinese mandarin system is indeed one of the prominent signs of the times in latter-day China.

Of the score of Chinese newspapers which survived the drastic edict for their suppression, one of the highest class is that which we may call *The Daily Mirror*, keeping back its real name for reasons connected with a leading article which we propose to translate later on in the chapter.

The *Daily Mirror* arose out of the Reform Movement. The reformers first started a paper which, out of pure compliment, bore the same title as that of the Christian Literature Society's famous monthly, *The Review of the Times*, a magazine dealing with national questions in the light of Christian civilisation, started in 1868 by Dr. Y. J. Allen, whose name has long since been a household word with most mandarins

and leading scholars throughout the Empire. As identical titles would naturally lead to confusion, the native *Review of the Times* became *Chinese Progress*, and flourished till the Usurpation. After that *coup d'état*, the editorial staff divided and threw their energies into two rival papers, one published every ten days, the other, the daily paper before us. In order to save itself, it disowned the now disgraced K'ang Yu-wei, and trimmed its sails in the approved Chinese fashion.

It is printed on foreign paper and published at about a third of a penny, having risen from a farthing, and gives good value for the money. The outside sheets are, of course, a mass of advertisements. Taking up a copy at random, let us first glance over these essentials of a paying daily.

Under the bold-print title, we find, beginning from the right-hand top corner, rates of advertisement, then items of surpassing interest in the current number, then a

marionette show "patronised by royalty" in Siam, &c. ; edible birds' nests, expensive but of prime quality ; Wuchang Cotton and Silk Mills ; an artist's advertisement ; an advertisement of another artist far superior to the former ; life insurance ; fire insurance ; fuel ; cigarettes ; weighing machines (illustrated) ; a Chinese drug-shop where Western medicines may be obtained (as sundry Mission dispensaries have found, for it is a reliable institution) ; and lastly lead type. And the back sheet is in no wise inferior to the front in the number and variety of its advertisements, comprising as it does an illustrated announcement of a circus ; Peacock brand cigarettes, also illustrated ; and a host of other delights for the Chinese mind and body.

On looking within, we cannot but be struck with the high journalistic ability therein displayed, both as regards the wide range of reliable news from all provinces and many countries, and as regards the

vigour of the articles which that news calls forth.

In the news section, we begin with the latest Imperial decrees, transmitted by telegraph, then translated telegrams from the British press of Shanghai—so that our London telegrams are in the Chinese reader's hands within twenty-four hours, as a rule, after we have read them at our breakfast tables. Such names as Dreyfus, Rhodes, Lord Salisbury, Chamberlain, Lord Roberts, Lord Kitchener, Kruger, De Wet, and others, have been well to the front at various times. And all the leading national or diplomatic events are portrayed, together with condensed reports of our own Parliamentary debates on Eastern questions, with an accuracy which leaves little to be desired.

Russia has never been popular with our editor. He has long prophesied that whatever events befall, she will be coming out top of the pile, with enlarged territory, at Chinese expense, in the end.



Then as to domestic news, a Chinese statesman or Western ambassador falls ill, and receives a paragraph ; a Consul becomes betrothed to a "female friend," and the date of his marriage is duly reported. The state of the crops, from the borders of Tibet to the opposite extremes of the realm ; the prospects of the silkworm industry ; the decline of the tea-trade, and the fact that Ceylon tea may now be bought in Hankow ; the decadence of Chinese art in the potteries, and the like, are duly noted and form topics for articles too. The ravages of opium are dealt with, accompanied with an array of facts and inferences which might well surprise the Opium Commissioners of a few years back. A forbidding tax on opium lamps is proposed in one of these vigorous leaders : statesmen of the first and second grades should be made to pay one thousand taels (ounces of silver), of the third and fourth grades, five hundred taels ; of the fifth, sixth, and seventh grades, two hundred



taels ; of the eighth grade downwards, fifty taels ; the upper class civilians, thirty taels ; the middle classes, ten taels ; the lower classes, three taels—an amount which will be appreciated when it is explained that these latter would hardly spend a penny a day on food. The rates for the higher classes are lower in proportion to their income for the reason that when a man can afford to feed well, the effects of the opium-grip may be palliated for a much longer time. And the article ends by quoting a friend of high degree who says : “ Such a method needs to be commended to Court to regulate the self-poisoning of the masses, and should such an edict be granted, first taxing and then prohibiting, the populace will be rescued, and in twenty years’ time the realm will be free from opium. But will the realm indeed enjoy such a summation ? Ah ! ”

And now it is proposed to translate a political article of some years back, in which

we have the spectacle of enraged patriotism, while yet certain events were fresh. It may be well to say by way of introduction, that while it mirrored the general feeling about the loss of Kiao-chou, certain facts on the other side are understated ; that the translator has no feeling of animosity toward Germany, but the reverse ; that no anti-German article will reappear in *The Daily Mirror* ; and that in the " holy land " of Shantung—the birthplace of Confucius, the region which contains the sacred T'ai mountain, and is the province where the maximum of friction would be supposed to have been caused—on January 17, 1901, the Governor of the province cordially invited all Protestant missionaries to follow the missionaries of Germany and France, and return to their posts in the interior, officially promising them a military escort if needed, full liberty of worship for their converts, and all the aid in his power toward furthering their own " preaching of

righteousness"—a translation of which document may be found at length in *The North China Herald*, January 30, 1901.

With such a preface we may safely quote *The Daily Mirror* leader of April 19, 1899 :

“ CHINA SHOULD PREPARE FOR WAR, AND FIRST  
FIGHT GERMANY.

“ Present-day China is like unto a broken tile, or a pile of eggs ready to tumble ! And those who sorrow for her misfortunes moan saying, ‘ If we fight we are lost, and if we do not fight we are still lost ! ’ But to Court and country, I would say, ‘ Tell me not that in either case we are lost. I will not believe it ! Let us fight if only to wipe out our national disgrace. And we must begin with Germany. Why ? Because the ‘ slicing of the melon ’ (partition of the country) began in the mind of Bismarck [!], and its first violence was marked in the siezure of Kiao-chou. And now Germany is planning to seize I-chou—fearless of con-

sequences and careless of every principle of justice. Thus of all nations, Germany is the most insulting and overbearing. Alas! alas! that such seeds of dissolution as these should be sown; while the Terrestrial Empire looks on with indifference! Surely no Empire worth the name would do so. Avert dissolution! Fight! And begin with Germany. Consider the rights of the case. The German usurpation of Kiao-chou was only made on the grounds that some villains had harmed some missionaries. Did not the German Government know that our Empire has laws against villainy? Must they adopt lynch-law themselves? Have we no methods of dealing with criminals? Did the case prove that China had none? They might have been justified if we had no such methods, or if those methods had failed. And all the while our Government has been straitly charging the officials everywhere to put down villainy with vigour, and to protect all strangers within our

borders. Why must they use military force and seize our territory?

“If China had turned a deaf ear to arbitration, and had cast aside her treaties [As she did in this and other cases.—TRANSLATOR], there might have been some excuse. But they must fight a hurried battle and make a military matter of it. They must win; we must lose, and agree to resign our territory, and that without having violated any treaty whatever! They just regarded us as Barbarians who own no ruler, and with whom they could do as they pleased, being, as they boast, a civilised nation and a powerful Empire; and impose upon us as having no freedom like their own! Thus it was that they could do as they liked, and cast justice to the winds! And we tamely submitted!

“Germany’s usurpature of Kiao-chou was not only an insult to our Parental Emperor, but to the whole realm of Terrestrials; and not only to the men of the present day but

our ancient Monarchs, Yao, Shun, Yü, T'ang, and to our diademed Confucius. And our Government and Magistracy cannot 'pillow the lance' and brook the outrage. Rather must we memorialise the Emperor to collect his troops and avenge the insult, an insult which proves the Imperial reliance (upon Germany's faith) to be unfounded, and which takes advantage of the sorrows of the populace. And if officials and people talk about 'present danger,' 'threatening crises,' and the like, they will indeed prove themselves to be a herd of enslaved Barbarians, who may be hunted and hooked like so many beasts and fishes. Then I too will join in the cry, 'If we fight we are lost, and if we do not fight, we are still lost!'

"But surely we must utter bolder words than these! Listen, I beseech you. There cannot be more than a thousand German soldiers at Kiao-chou, and in the event of a battle will every one of them escape? And if they lose but one to a hundred of our



troops, or ten in a thousand, or a hundred to a myriad, can they slaughter our whole army?

“Moreover, their recent doings have been an insult to every Terrestrial, and Germany should find in every Terrestrial soldier an enemy. If our four hundred millions rise in opposition to Germany, will there be any fear of our failing to conquer the foe?

“There are Western methods of warfare, but have we not learnt them? There is Western discipline, but has no one adopted it? If the Government will not withhold its treasure for rewarding bravery, are there no heroes who will answer to the call? *We are* able to fight then.

“Can we not make guns and cast cannon, and protect our frontiers from foreign occupation? We are able to protect ourselves. What fear we then?

“And after this I-chou episode, if we do not arm *en masse*, ‘turning our backs on home, and joining as one,’ I guarantee that



the melon will indeed be sliced. With all our myriads we shall bow our greetings to these guests; with all our mineral wealth, we shall submit to the conquerors.

“China must fight, and fight now! And if the National resources are inadequate, the populace will help; civilian households will supply rations to the troops; the mines will supply material for armaments. We are able to overcome Germany, I assert for the second time.

“If we put on a bold front, though the Germans have taken Kiao-chou, we shall be host and they guests, we shall be masters and they servants. And should they want to collect reinforcements of men and weapons, their country is distant; and if they seek to borrow troops on the spot, what nation will assist them? Our country is broad and large, the united forces of a dozen provinces could be concentrated in one province, and that without undue pressure on the populace, or the expenditure of for-

bidding sums of money. We can concentrate, yes, concentrate the soldiery of a dozen provinces, and oppose this one kingdom. We need not cross the seas to collect the sinews of war as they would have to.

“ When France attacked us, and ‘ defeated our horse-tails ’ at Foochou, were our troops seized with panic ? Did not the provinces of Kwangtung and Chekiang rise to the occasion and prove their prowess ? That is no distant event. Is it utterly forgotten ? And now with Germany, cannot such things be repeated ? We are able to overcome, I assert for the third time.

“ I maintain that at all costs (‘ body and bones ground to powder ’), we should struggle to be free from the slavery of driven cattle. The Government should not be affrighted at any recent defeat (in the Japan war), but should adopt a policy of lasting victory, by immediately proclaiming throughout the Empire that Shantung must be held in order to secure the safety of the

remaining provinces. If the military spirit of a myriad mile territory be concentrated there, the Germans will fear and fly without having the nerve to resist. We can thus conquer without fighting! Bold words these, but as true as they are bold. We are able to overcome Germany. Who doubts it?

“You may say that China is divided in heart, and if we organise a great army, we shall find the country people scattered like a flock of frightened birds. But will it be so? The insult to one country in Asia is an omen for all Asia. And we need not debate matters with Westerners. Other nations are willing to help, but cannot till we invite them. But if our Government were to make representations of our national disgrace and ignominy, and ask for aid of our friends the Japanese [!] and others, and Japan and Siam were to realise that China's disgrace was Asia's danger, then every Asiatic power would be likely to unite in a common bond to withstand

Germany and escape the tyranny of the White Race. Thus the East would join in war against the West, and China would be ruled by its own laws and belong to its own people. And so with each (Asiatic) nation, as justice requires. And with such available help from related nations, Germany would be defeated. China can fight and save herself, and the plan of national salvation is (chiefly) to arouse the literati and populace to feel the shame of the foreign yoke, thus uniting the nation in one, and the Continent in one. But first the nation must be united as one body where every part feels the pain of one part.

“The literati and populace of the Empire should form one council of war, and call out volunteers to make up for the paucity of regular troops. Eastern Asia should form an Asiatic council of war; every man in every part should exert himself to save his home.

“If our Government but feels anxious for the realm, and our statesmen are but shamed at the national disgrace, the populace will catch their emotions and weep tears of blood. Public spirit will be aroused. Our friends the neighbouring nations will fight for their very existence, and when the conflict comes, there can be no possibility of our not being victorious.

“Alas, the insurgents (the Germans) are insurgents indeed. How could I remain silent, and not address my country? And doing so, how could I refrain from speaking plainly, and appealing to our Far Eastern friends (Japanese and the like)?

“But, after all, the matter belongs to our Government. If the Government gives the word, the drum-beats will resound throughout the Empire, and reverberate in every manly heart till the martial spirit rises wave upon wave.

“Otherwise, if we dawdle in our extremity, and are blind to our calamity; if we delight

to be destroyed and count our losses gain, then all is lost, all is lost ! China is China no longer. Alas ! Alas ! How can I bear it ! How can I bear it ! ”

A passing thought in the reader's mind may be answered at once. It may be argued on reading the above that if the editor has published articles against the Empress Dowager, couched in such terms as those employed against an episode in the relations of Germany with China, she might well suppress such a newspaper with an easy conscience. But as a constant reader of *The Daily Mirror*, one is qualified to assert that not even in 1900, when Chinese no less than Western opinion was roused against her treachery, was one disrespectful word or insinuation to be discovered in the leading articles of this paper, although many a well-deserved epithet was hurled at the heads of certain “ vile statesmen ” who had misadvised her.



Nor, apart from the above article, has our editor published much that could be considered anti-foreign, though he has penned a great deal that we should readily admit to be the outcome of a very allowable patriotism. And if he should be somewhat critical of such Western manners as are not admirable, he lashes the abuses of mandarindom with a vigour that is nothing short of courageous, considering that he has readers among that class of dignitaries.

And even in the above article he cannot be considered to "excite the masses to subvert the present order of things," or even to urge them to attack Germany or any other Western power, for the simple reason that his articles, so far from being understood of the masses, are in the finest and most allusive literary prose. The man in the street could never manage to punctuate an article in *The Daily Mirror*, still less read it. The readers, apart from the official section thereof, belong to the



ranks of the sedentary student or the educated merchant—both men of peace who would not handle a weapon of warfare for the life of them. So that even this specimen of patriotic rage may well be considered to be as innocent of harm as a resounding volley of blank cartridge. It is a mere artistic beating of the air, and would be chiefly admired on account of its vigorous style.

No effective advocate of militarism is the native press of China, but its more able publications are in many ways an agency of education in the sorely neglected subject of a healthy patriotism such as it would be to the best interests of both Orient and Occident to foster in China.

And as most of the higher class dailies gain their facts from Western journalism, and many of their motives from the publications of the Christian Literature Society for China—a society which includes within its membership many of the most intelligent

well-wishers to China, residing either within that empire, or north of the Tweed, or in various cities of England, America, and Germany—we may well regard the daily press of Cathay as an important factor in the new China which is coming to birth with the new century.

And surely we may read the signs of the times as birth-throes rather than break-up. Or as an ancient *Aid to Pleasant Discourse* relates of Adam in an old Mystery Play, so also with China to-day: “As the curtain rose, he was seen crossing the stage on his way to be created.”

# THE CHRISTIAN LITERATURE SOCIETY FOR CHINA.

## Officers in China.

### *President.*

Sir Robert Hart, Bart., G.C.M.G.

### *Vice-Presidents.*

Cornelius Thorne, Esq. J. J. Keswick, Esq.  
George Jamieson, Esq., C.M.G. Right Rev. G. E. Moule, D.D.

### *Honorary Treasurer.*

James Buchanan, Esq., Shanghai.

### *Honorary Secretary.*

Rev. Timothy Richard, Shanghai.

### *Ordinary Directors.*

C. S. Addis, Esq. Rev. Paul Kranz.  
Rev. Y. J. Allen, D.D., LL.D. Rev. D. McGillivray, B.D.  
Rev. W. A. Cornaby. W. H. Poate, Esq.  
Rev. J. Edkins, D.D. Rev. F. L. Pott, B.D.  
Rev. J. C. Ferguson. Rev. W. G. Walshe, B.A.  
A. H. Harris, Esq. Rev. E. T. Williams.

## Officers in Scotland.

### *Honorary President.*

The Right Honourable Lord Polwarth.

### *Honorary Vice-Presidents.*

The Right Hon. Lord Overtoun. Thomas Hanbury, Esq., Italy.  
W. G. Blackie, Esq., Ph.D., LL.D. James Stephenson, Esq.  
Right Hon. James A. Campbell, Rev. Principal G. C. M. Douglas,  
LL.D., M.P. D.D.

### *President.*

Professor A. Crum Brown, F.R.S.

### *Honorary Secretaries.*

Rev. J. Cumming Brown.  
William C. Kerr, Esq., LL.B., Edinburgh.  
Robert A. Moody, Esq., LL.B., Glasgow.

## Ladies' Branch.

### *President.*

Mrs. Stalker, Glasgow.

### *Secretary.*

Miss Harvie, Glasgow.

## London Branch.

### *Hon. Secretary and Treasurer.*

Rev. W. Fisher, British and Foreign Bible Society.

### *Committee.*

Rev. Prebendary Webb-Peploe. Rev. A. R. Buckland.  
Rev. Canon Fleming. Judge Bompas.  
Rev. Prof. H. C. G. Moule. T. A. Denny, Esq.  
Rev. Dr. Richard Glover. John Cory, Esq.  
Rev. Dr. M'Ewan. Robert Heath, Esq.  
Rev. Dr. Monro Gibson. W. H. D. Rouse, Esq., Rugby School.  
Rev. W. T. A. Barber, Leys School. Christopher Gardner, Esq., C.M.G.  
Rev. F. B. Meyer. Colin M. Ford, Esq.  
Rev. Alexander Connell. James Johnston, Esq., M.D.  
Rev. John Sharp. A. H. Baynes, Esq.  
Rev. James Thomas.

**The Gresham Press,**

UNWIN BROTHERS,  
WOKING AND LONDON.









DS721 .C81  
China under the search-light,

Princeton Theological Seminary-Speer Library



1 1012 00023 4718